arXiv:1302.5012v1 [math-ph] 20 Feb 2013

Coulomb scattering in the massless Nelson model II. Regularity of ground states

W. Dybalski and A. Pizzo

February 21, 2013

Abstract

For the massless Nelson model we provide detailed information about the dependence of the normalized ground states $\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}$ of the fiber single-electron Hamiltonians $H_{P,\sigma}$ on the total momentum P and the infrared cut-off σ. This information is obtained with the help of the iterative analytic perturbation theory. In particular, we derive bounds of the form

$$\|\partial_P^{\beta}\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}\| \leq \frac{c}{\sigma^{\delta_{\lambda_0}}},$$

for any multiindex β, s.t. $0 \leq |\beta| \leq 2$ and some function of the maximal admissible coupling constant $\lambda_0 \mapsto \delta_{\lambda_0}$ s.t. $\lim_{\lambda_0\to 0}\delta_{\lambda_0} = 0$. Analogous bounds are obtained for the derivatives w.r.t. P of the q-particle momentum wavefunctions $f^{q}_{P,\sigma}$ of $\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}$. These results are exploited in a companion paper to construct the two-electron scattering states in the infrared-regular massless Nelson model (in the absence of an infrared cut-off) along the lines of Haag-Ruelle scattering theory.

1 Introduction

In spite of tremendous progress in the mathematical description of scattering of light and matter in the framework of non-relativistic QED [CFP07, DG04, Pi05, FGS04, Sp97, DK11], processes involving several massive particles (‘electrons’) remained untreated. This important gap in our understanding can be traced back to serious conceptual and technical difficulties arising at the multi-electron level. On the conceptual side, it is essential to follow the lines of relativistic scattering theory [Ha58, Ru62, Dy05], in spite of the fact that the ‘bare electron’, appearing in the model Hamiltonian, is a non-relativistic quantum-mechanical particle. In the presence of a fixed infrared cut-off [Fr] and for massive photons [Al73] a suitable variant of Haag-Ruelle scattering theory was found long time ago. However, only in our recent paper [DP12], this framework was generalized to theories with non-trivial infrared structure. In [DP12] we constructed scattering states of two electrons in the infrared-regular massless Nelson model (without an infrared cut-off) and proved their tensor product structure. This result relies on detailed spectral properties of the ground states of the single-particle fiber Hamiltonians which encode localization of physical electrons in space. In the present paper we give a proof of these properties using the iterative analytic perturbation theory [Pi03, KM12, CFP09, BBP13]. Our spectral results will also be used in our future work on scattering of several infraparticles in the infrared-singular massless Nelson model.

Let H be the Hamiltonian of the massless Nelson model and H_P be the usual fiber Hamiltonians at fixed total momentum P (see definition (2.1) below). The analysis of the spectrum of H_P was initiated in [Fr73, Fr74] and advanced in [Pi03] with the help of the iterative analytic perturbation theory. (Interesting results on the spectrum of the Nelson model with a slightly different form factor were also obtained in [AH12] by different methods). The results of the present paper go much beyond the existing applications of the iterative analytic perturbation theory. In order to elucidate these improvements let us now discuss our findings in non-technical terms: Let $H_{P,\sigma}$ be the fiber Hamiltonians with an infrared cut-off $\sigma > 0$ which have normalized ground state vectors $\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}$ corresponding to isolated simple eigenvalues $E_{P,\sigma}$. (The phases of $\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}$ are fixed by (5.24) below). Let $\{f^q_{P,\sigma}\}_{q\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ be the q-photon components of $\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}$. The main result of this paper, stated precisely in Theorem 2.2 below, is the following estimate

$$|\partial_P^\beta f^q_{P,\sigma}(k_1,\dots,k_q)| \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{q!}}\Big(\frac{1}{\sigma^{\delta_{\lambda_0}}}\Big)^{|\beta|}\prod_{j=1}^{q}\frac{c v^{\sigma}_{\overline{\alpha}}(k_j)}{|k_j|}, \tag{1.1}$$

where β is a multiindex s.t. $0 \le |\beta| \le 2$, $v^{\sigma}_{\overline{\alpha}}$ is the form-factor given by formula (2.5) below, and λ_0 is the maximal admissible value of the coupling constant. The function $\lambda_0 \mapsto \delta_{\lambda_0}$ satisfies $\lim_{\lambda_0\to 0}\delta_{\lambda_0} = 0$ thus the infrared singularity of this estimate as $\sigma \to 0$ is very mild in the weak coupling regime. As explained in detail in [DP12], this bound is a crucial technical input into the construction of two-electron scattering states. In particular, the momentum derivatives are needed in [DP12] to use the non-stationary phase method.

Let us now provide some remarks concerning our proof of estimate (1.1). First, we recall that a convenient formula for $f^q_{P,\sigma}$ has been derived in [Fr73, Fr74]. For $q = 1$, it has the form

$$f^1_{P,\sigma}(k) = -\langle\Omega, \Big\{\frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|} v^{\sigma}_{\overline{\alpha}}(k)\Big\}\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}\rangle. \tag{1.2}$$

A straightforward estimate, exploiting that $E_{P-k,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} \ge -(\frac{1}{3} + c|\lambda|)|k|$ (cf. Proposition 3.1 below), gives

$$|f^1_{P,\sigma}(k)| \le \frac{c v^{\sigma}_{\overline{\alpha}}(k)}{|k|}, \tag{1.3}$$

where the constant c is independent of σ. Clearly, (1.3) is a special case of (1.1) for $\beta = 0$. It appears to be much more difficult to obtain bounds with similar behaviour at small $|k|$ in the case $0 < |\beta| \le 2$. To indicate various difficulties which have to be overcome to obtain such bounds, let us differentiate (1.2) w.r.t. P:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{P_i} f^1_{P,\sigma}(k) \quad &= \quad \langle\Omega, \Big\{\frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|}((P - k - P_{\mathrm{f}}) - \nabla E_{P,\sigma})_i \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|} v^{\sigma}_{\overline{\alpha}}(k)\Big\}\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}\rangle \\ &\quad -\langle\Omega, \Big\{\frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|} v^{\sigma}_{\overline{\alpha}}(k)\Big\}\partial_{P_i}\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}\rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

where P_{f} is the photon momentum operator. As for the second term on the r.h.s. of (1.4) the main task is to find a bound on the vector $\partial_{P_i}\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}$ with a mild dependence on σ. We recall that the bounds from [Pi03] give only Hölder continuity of $P \mapsto \check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}$, uniformly in σ. With the help of the unitary dressing transformation $W_{P,\sigma}$, defined in (3.6) below, we obtain the following formula for $\partial_{P_i}\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}$

$$\partial_{P_i}\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma} = W^*_{P,\sigma}\frac{1}{H^W_{P,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma}}(\Gamma_{P,\sigma})_i\check{\phi}_{P,\sigma}, \tag{1.5}$$

where $H^W_{P,\sigma} = W_{P,\sigma} H_{P,\sigma} W^*_{P,\sigma}$ and $\check{\phi}_{P,\sigma} = W_{P,\sigma}\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}$. The expression $\Gamma_{P,\sigma}$, defined by the formula

$$W_{P,\sigma}(P-P_{\mathrm{f}})W^*_{P,\sigma} = \nabla E_{P,\sigma} - \Gamma_{P,\sigma}, \tag{1.6}$$

has the property $\langle \check{\phi}_{P,\sigma}, (\Gamma_{P,\sigma})_i \check{\phi}_{P,\sigma}\rangle = 0$. The behaviour of the r.h.s. of (1.5) as a function of σ can be controlled with the help of the iterative analytic perturbation theory, and we obtain in Corollary 5.2 that

$$\Big\|\frac{1}{H^W_{P,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma}}(\Gamma_{P,\sigma})_i \check{\phi}_{P,\sigma}\Big\| \leq \frac{c}{\sigma^{\delta_{\lambda_0}}}, \tag{1.7}$$

where c is independent of σ and the function $\lambda_0 \mapsto \delta_{\lambda_0}$ is as explained below formula (1.1). Thus, denoting by II the second term on the r.h.s. of (1.4), there follows, as in the derivation of estimate (1.3),

$$|II| \leq \frac{1}{\sigma^{\delta_{\lambda_0}}} \frac{c v^{\sigma}_{\overline{\alpha}}(k)}{|k|}. \tag{1.8}$$

Concerning the first term on the r.h.s. of (1.4), which we denote by I, a crude estimate, as in the derivation of (1.3), gives

$$|I| \leq \frac{c v^{\sigma}_{\overline{\alpha}}(k)}{|k|^2} \leq \frac{1}{\sigma}\frac{c v^{\sigma}_{\overline{\alpha}}(k)}{|k|}, \tag{1.9}$$

due to the additional resolvent and the fact that $v^{\sigma}_{\overline{\alpha}}$ is supported in $|k| \geq \sigma$. Such a bound is much weaker than (1.1) and in fact it does not suffice for the purpose of constructing scattering states of several electrons. To improve on that, we make use of the Taylor expansion

$$\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma} = \check{\psi}_{P-k,\sigma} - k\cdot \nabla_{P'}\check{\psi}_{P',\sigma}|_{P'=P''}, \tag{1.10}$$

where P'' is some point between P and $P-k$. Let us denote by I_1 and I_2 the contributions to I which come from the two terms on the r.h.s. of (1.10). We have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= O\Big(\frac{v^{\sigma}_{\overline{\alpha}}(k)}{|k|}\Big)\langle \Omega, \Big\{\frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|}((P-k-P_{\mathrm{f}}) - \nabla E_{P,\sigma})_i\Big\}\check{\psi}_{P-k,\sigma}\rangle \\ &= O\Big(\frac{v^{\sigma}_{\overline{\alpha}}(k)}{|k|}\Big)\langle W_{P-k,\sigma}\Omega, \Big\{\frac{1}{H^W_{P-k,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|}(\nabla E_{P-k,\sigma} - \nabla E_{P,\sigma} - \Gamma_{P-k,\sigma})_i\Big\}\check{\phi}_{P-k,\sigma}\rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{1.11}$$

where we made use of (1.5). After simple manipulations the term proportional to $\Gamma_{P-k,\sigma}$ can be estimated with the help of (1.7). The remaining part of (1.11) is handled using the fact that the second derivative of $P \mapsto E_{P,\sigma}$ is bounded, uniformly in σ, and therefore

$$|\nabla E_{P-k,\sigma} - \nabla E_{P,\sigma}| \leq c|k|, \tag{1.12}$$

(see Proposition 2.1 below). This additional power of $|k|$ controls the resolvent in (1.11) and, altogether, we get

$$|I_1| \leq \frac{1}{\sigma^{\delta_{\lambda_0}}}\frac{c v^{\sigma}_{\overline{\alpha}}(k)}{|k|}. \tag{1.13}$$

It is easy to see that I_2 satisfies analogous bound, since k appearing in $k \cdot \nabla_{P'}\check{\psi}_{P',\sigma}|_{P'=P''}$ can be used to control one resolvent in I and $\nabla_{P'}\check{\psi}_{P',\sigma}|_{P'=P''}$ is estimated with the help of formulas (1.7) and (1.5).

The analysis of the second derivative of $f^q_{P,\sigma}$ is considerably more involved. Apart from the bound in (1.7) we also need the following estimates (cf. Corollary 5.2)

$$\|\frac{Q^{\perp}_{P,\sigma}}{H^W_{P,\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}}(\Gamma_{P,\sigma})_i\frac{1}{H^W_{P,\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}}(\Gamma_{P,\sigma})_{i'}\check{\phi}_{P,\sigma}\| \leq \frac{c}{\sigma^{2\delta_{\lambda_0}}}, \tag{1.14}$$

$$\|\Big(\frac{1}{H^W_{P,\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}}\Big)^2(\Gamma_{P,\sigma})_i\check{\phi}_{P,\sigma}\| \leq \frac{c}{\sigma^{2\delta_{\lambda_0}}}, \tag{1.15}$$

where $Q_{P,\sigma} = |\check{\phi}_{P,\sigma}\rangle\langle\check{\phi}_{P,\sigma}|$, and a bound on the third derivative of $P \mapsto E_{P,\sigma}$ of the form

$$|\partial^{\beta'}_P E_{P,\sigma}| \leq \frac{c}{\sigma^{\delta_{\lambda_0}}}, \qquad |\beta'| = 3. \tag{1.16}$$

The argument proceeds similarly as in the case of the first derivative. However, a straightforward computation of $\partial_{P_i}\partial_{P_{i'}} f^q_{P,\sigma}$ produces terms which violate the bound (1.1) and one has to carefully check that they cancel. Let us explain this aspect in the case $q = 1$: From formula (1.4) we get

$$\partial_{P_i}\partial_{P_{i'}} f^1_{P,\sigma}(k) \quad \ni \quad (\delta_{i,i'} - \partial_{P_i}\partial_{P_{i'}}E_{P,\sigma})v^{\sigma}_{\alpha}(k)\langle\Omega, \Big(\frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|}\Big)^2\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}\rangle. \tag{1.17}$$

By shifting $\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}$ as in (1.10), we obtain that the term on the r.h.s. of (1.17) gives, up to better behaving terms,

$$(\delta_{i,i'} - \partial_{P_i}\partial_{P_{i'}}E_{P,\sigma})v^{\sigma}_{\alpha}(k)\Big(\frac{1}{E_{P-k,\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|}\Big)^2\langle\Omega,\check{\psi}_{P-k,\sigma}\rangle = \mathcal{O}\Big(\frac{v^{\sigma}_{\alpha}(k)}{|k|^2}\Big), \tag{1.18}$$

which is the undesirable behaviour encountered already in (1.9) above. To exhibit the compensating terms, we note that

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{P_i}\partial_{P_{i'}} f^1_{P,\sigma}(k) \quad \ni \quad & -\langle\Omega, \Big\{\frac{\overline{Q}_{P-k,\sigma}+\overline{Q}^{\perp}_{P-k,\sigma}}{H_{P-k,\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|}((P-k-P_{\mathrm{f}})-\nabla E_{P,\sigma})_i\frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|}\Big\}\times \\ & \times((P-k-P_{\mathrm{f}})-\nabla E_{P,\sigma})_i\frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|}v^{\sigma}_{\alpha}(k)\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}\rangle,\end{aligned} \tag{1.19}$$

where $\overline{Q}_{P,\sigma} = W^*_{P,\sigma}Q_{P,\sigma}W_{P,\sigma} = |\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}\rangle\langle\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}|$ and $\overline{Q}_{P,\sigma} + \overline{Q}^{\perp}_{P,\sigma} = 1$. The term involving $\overline{Q}^{\perp}_{P,\sigma}$ in (1.19) is handled with the help of (1.14) after employing (1.6) and (1.10). The part of (1.19) proportional to $\overline{Q}_{P,\sigma}$ (and its counterpart with i, i' exchanged) exactly cancel the undesirable term (1.18) as we show in the proof of our main result in Section 6.

Our paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we state precisely our main results. In Section 3 we introduce the transformed Hamiltonians $H^W_{P,\sigma}$ and prove estimate (1.7). In Section 4 we derive formulas for derivatives of $P \mapsto \check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}$ up to the second order and derivatives of $P \mapsto E_{P,\sigma}$ up to the third order. It turns out that to control these quantities one needs bounds (1.14), (1.15), which are proven in Section 5. Section 6 is devoted to the proof of our main result.

Acknowledgment: A.P. thanks the Hausdorff Research Institute of Mathematics, Bonn, for hospitality. A.P. is supported by the NSF grant #DMS-0905988.

W.D. thanks the University of California Davis and the Hausdorff Research Institute for Mathematics, Bonn, for hospitality. W.D. is supported by the German Research Foundation (DFG) within the grant SP181/25–2 and stipend DY107/1–1. Moreover, he would like to acknowledge the support of the Danish Council for Independent Research, grant no. 09-065927 "Mathematical Physics", and of the Lundbeck Foundation.

2 Preliminaries and results

In this section we state the main results of this paper which were announced already in Section 1.2 of [DP12].

Let $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathrm{fi}} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, d^3k)$ be the single-photon subspace in the fiber picture. Let $\Gamma(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathrm{fi}})$ be the symmetric Fock space over $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathrm{fi}}$ and let us denote the corresponding (improper) creation and annihilation operators by $b^*(k)$ an $b(k)$. The fiber Hamiltonians of the massless Nelson model at fixed total momentum P have the form

$$H_P = \frac{1}{2}(P - P_{\mathrm{f}})^2 + H_{\mathrm{f}} + \int d^3k\, v_{\overline{\alpha}}(k)\,(b(k) + b^*(k)), \tag{2.1}$$

where H_{f}, P_{f} are the photon total energy and momentum operators

$$H_{\mathrm{f}} = \int d^3k\, \omega(k) b^*(k) b(k), \quad P_{\mathrm{f}} = \int d^3k\, k b^*(k) b(k). \tag{2.2}$$

To define the form factor $v_{\overline{\alpha}}$ we need to introduce some notation: Let $\mathcal{B}_r$ be the open ball of radius $r > 0$ centered at zero. Let $\chi_r \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be a function which is rotationally invariant, non-increasing in the radial direction, supported in $\mathcal{B}_r$ and equal to one on $\mathcal{B}_{(1-\varepsilon_0)r}$, for $0 < \varepsilon_0 < 1$. Let $\lambda > 0$ be the coupling constant and $\kappa > 0$ the ultraviolet cut-off which is kept fixed in our investigation. (For simplicity of presetation we will set $\kappa = 1$). The form-factor is given by

$$v_{\overline{\alpha}}(k) := \lambda \frac{\chi_\kappa(k)|k|^{\overline{\alpha}}}{(2|k|)^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \tag{2.3}$$

where $\frac{1}{2} \geq \overline{\alpha} \geq 0$ is a parameter which controls the infrared behaviour of the system. (For $\overline{\alpha} = 0$ we say that the model is infrared singular, for $\overline{\alpha} > 0$ that it is infrared regular). This parameter is kept fixed.

Important role in the spectral analysis of the Hamiltonians H_P is played by their counterparts with infrared cut-off $\sigma \in (0, \kappa]$, given by

$$H_{P,\sigma} := \frac{1}{2}(P - P_{\mathrm{f}})^2 + H_{\mathrm{f}} + \int d^3k\, v^{\sigma}_{\overline{\alpha}}(k)\,(b(k) + b^*(k)). \tag{2.4}$$

The form-factor $v^{\sigma}_{\overline{\alpha}}$, which carries information both about the (sharp) infrared cut-off σ and (smooth) ultraviolet cut-off κ is given by

$$v^{\sigma}_{\overline{\alpha}}(k) := \lambda \frac{\chi_{[\sigma,\kappa)}(k)|k|^{\overline{\alpha}}}{(2|k|)^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \tag{2.5}$$

where $\chi_{[\sigma,\kappa)}(k) := \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}'_\sigma}(k)\chi_\kappa(k)$, $\mathcal{B}_r := \{\, k \in \mathbb{R}^3 \ :\ |k| < r \,\}$ and $\mathcal{B}'_r = \mathbb{R}^3 \backslash \mathcal{B}_r$.

As our analysis concerns the bottom of the spectrum of the fiber Hamiltonians, let us define

$$E_P := \inf \sigma(H_P), \quad E_{P,\sigma} := \inf \sigma(H_{P,\sigma}). \tag{2.6}$$

Since the model is non-relativistic, we are interested in small values of the total momentum P at which the electron moves slower than the photons. For this reason we consider P from the set

$$S := \{\, P \in \mathbb{R}^3 \,|\, |P| < P_{\max} \,\} \tag{2.7}$$

for some $0 < P_{\max} < 1$. Since we work in the weak coupling regime, we fix some sufficiently small $\lambda_0 > 0$, and restrict attention to $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0]$. The parameter λ_0 is specified in Proposition 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 below. $P_{\max}$ is set equal to $1/3$ in Proposition 2.1 and reduced to $P'_{\max} = 1/6$ in Theorem 2.2.

In the following proposition we collect the results concerning $E_P, E_{P,\sigma}$ which are needed in the scattering theory of two electrons in [DP12]. Many of these properties are known, but several are new, as we explain below.

Proposition 2.1. *Fix* $0 \le \overline{\alpha} \le 1/2$ *and let* $P_{\max} = 1/3$*. Then there exists* $\lambda_0 > 0$ *s.t. for all* $P \in S := \mathcal{B}_{P_{\max}}$, $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0]$ *there holds:*

(a) $S \ni P \mapsto E_P$ *is twice continuously differentiable and strictly convex.* $S \ni P \mapsto E_{P,\sigma}$ *is analytic and strictly convex, uniformly in* $\sigma \in (0, \kappa]$*. Moreover,*

$$|E_P - E_{P,\sigma}| \le c\sigma, \tag{2.8}$$

$$|\partial_P^{\beta_1} E_{P,\sigma}| \le c, \quad |\partial_P^{\beta_2} E_{P,\sigma}| \le c, \quad |\partial_P^{\beta_3} E_{P,\sigma}| \le c/\sigma^{\delta_{\lambda_0}} \tag{2.9}$$

for multiindices β_j *s.t.* $|\beta_j| = j$, $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.

(b) *For* $\sigma > 0$, $E_{P,\sigma}$ *is a simple eigenvalue corresponding to a normalized eigenvector* $\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}$*, whose phase is fixed in Definition 4.1 below. There holds*

$$\|\partial_P^{\beta} \check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}\| \le c/\sigma^{\delta_{\lambda_0}} \tag{2.10}$$

for multiindices β *s.t.* $0 < |\beta| \le 2$.

(c) *For* $\overline{\alpha} > 0$, E_P *is a simple eigenvalue corresponding to a normalized eigenvector* $\check{\psi}_P$*. Moreover, for a suitable choice of the phase of* $\check{\psi}_P$*,*

$$\|\check{\psi}_P - \check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}\| \le c\sigma^{\overline{\alpha}}. \tag{2.11}$$

The constant c above is independent of $\sigma, P, \lambda, \overline{\alpha}$ *within the assumed restrictions. Clearly, all statements above remain true after replacing* λ_0 *by some* $\tilde{\lambda}_0 \in (0, \lambda_0]$*. The resulting function* $\tilde{\lambda}_0 \mapsto \delta_{\tilde{\lambda}_0}$ *can be chosen positive and s.t.* $\lim_{\tilde{\lambda}_0 \to 0} \delta_{\tilde{\lambda}_0} = 0$.

We give the proof of this proposition in the last part of Section 5. Large part of Proposition 2.1 has already been established in the Nelson model or in similar models: The fact that $S \ni P \mapsto E_P$, $S \ni P \mapsto E_{P,\sigma}$ are twice continuously differentiable and convex has been shown in non-relativistic and semi-relativistic QED in [FP10, KM12, BCFS07] and in the Nelson model with a slightly different form-factor in [AH12]. The bound in (2.8) can be extracted from [Pi03]. The first statement in (b) has been established already in [Fr74]. Part (c) is implicit in [Pi03]. The bound on the third derivative of $E_{P,\sigma}$ in (2.9) and on the first and second derivative of $\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}$ in (2.10) are new.

Additional spectral information, needed in scattering theory of several electrons, is contained in regularity properties of the momentum wave functions of the vectors $\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}$. Let us express $\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}$ in terms of its q-particle components in the Fock space:

$$\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma} = \{f^q_{P,\sigma}\}_{q \in \mathbb{N}_0}, \tag{2.12}$$

where $f^q_{P,\sigma} \in L^2_{\mathrm{sym}}(\mathbb{R}^{3q}, d^{3q}k)$, i.e., each $f^q_{P,\sigma}$ is a square-integrable function symmetric in q variables from $\mathbb{R}^3$. We introduce the following auxiliary functions:

$$g^q_\sigma(k_1, \dots, k_q) := \prod_{i=1}^{q} \frac{c\lambda \chi_{[\sigma,\kappa_*)}(k_i)|k_i|^{\overline{\alpha}}}{|k_i|^{3/2}}, \quad \kappa_* := (1 - \varepsilon_0)^{-1}\kappa, \tag{2.13}$$

where c is some positive constant independent of q, σ, P and λ within the restrictions specified above. Next, we introduce the notation

$$\mathcal{A}_{r_1,r_2} := \{ k \in \mathbb{R}^3 \, | \, r_1 < |k| < r_2 \}, \tag{2.14}$$

where $0 \le r_1 < r_2$. Now we are ready to state the required properties of the functions $f^q_{P,\sigma}$:

Theorem 2.2. *Fix $0 \le \overline{\alpha} \le 1/2$ and set $P'_{\max} = 1/6$. Then there exists $\lambda_0 > 0$ s.t. for all $P \in S' = \mathcal{B}_{P'_{\max}}$, $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0]$ there holds:*

(a) *Let $\{f^q_{P,\sigma}\}_{q \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be the q-particle components of $\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}$ and let $\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{\times q}_{\sigma,\kappa}$ be defined by (2.14). Then, for any $P \in S'$, the function $f^q_{P,\sigma}$ is supported in $\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{\times q}_{\sigma,\kappa}$.*

(b) *The function*

$$S' \times \mathcal{A}^{\times q}_{\sigma,\infty} \ni (P; k_1, \ldots, k_q) \mapsto f^q_{P,\sigma}(k_1, \ldots, k_q) \tag{2.15}$$

is twice continuously differentiable and extends by continuity, together with its derivatives, to the set $S' \times \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{\times q}_{\sigma,\infty}$.

(c) *For any multiindex β, $0 \le |\beta| \le 2$, the function (2.15) satisfies*

$$|\partial^{\beta}_{k_l} f^q_{P,\sigma}(k_1, \ldots, k_q)| \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{q!}} |k_l|^{-|\beta|} g^q_{\sigma}(k_1, \ldots, k_q), \tag{2.16}$$

$$|\partial^{\beta}_{P} f^q_{P,\sigma}(k_1, \ldots, k_q)| \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{q!}} (\frac{1}{\sigma^{\delta_{\delta_0}}})^{|\beta|} g^q_{\sigma}(k_1, \ldots, k_q), \tag{2.17}$$

$$|\partial_{P^{i'}} \partial_{k_l^i} f^q_{P,\sigma}(k_1, \ldots, k_q)| \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{q!}} \frac{1}{\sigma^{\delta_{\delta_0}}} |k_l|^{-1} g^q_{\sigma}(k_1, \ldots, k_q), \tag{2.18}$$

where the function $\tilde{\lambda}_0 \mapsto \lambda_{\tilde{\delta}_0}$ has the properties specified in Proposition 2.1.

We give the proof of Theorem 2.2 in Section 6. Parts (a), (b) of and estimate (2.16) in (c) can be extracted from [Fr73, Fr74, Fr] or proven using the methods from these papers. The key new input are the bounds in (2.17), (2.18) on the first and second derivative w.r.t. P with their mild dependence on the infrared cut-off σ. These bounds require major refinements of the iterative multiscale analysis from [Pi03] and constitute the main result of the present paper.

Standing assumptions and conventions:

1. We will denote by c, c', c'' numerical constants which may change from line to line.
2. Upper or lower indices $i, i', \hat{i}, \hat{i}' = 1, 2, 3$ denote components of vectors in $\mathbb{R}^3$.
3. We denote by $\langle \psi_1, \psi_2 \rangle$ the scalar product of two vectors ψ_1, ψ_2 in a Hilbert space.
4. The contour integrals are oriented counterclockwise. Moreover, we use the convention

$$-\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\gamma} \equiv \oint_{\gamma}$$

for a contour γ. With this convention $\oint_{\gamma} dz/z = -1$ if γ is a circle centered at zero.

5. The symbol $\mathcal{B}_r$ denotes the set $\{ k \in \mathbb{R}^3 \, : \, |k| < r \}$.
6. Let $\chi_r \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be a function which is rotationally invariant, non-increasing in the radial direction, supported in $\mathcal{B}_r$ and equal to one on $\mathcal{B}_{(1-\varepsilon_0)r}$, for some fixed $0 < \varepsilon_0 < 1$.
7. The symbol $\hat{\int}_{r_1}^{r_2} d^3k$ stands for $\int_{\mathcal{B}_{r_2} \setminus \mathcal{B}_{r_1}} d^3k \, \chi_{\kappa}(k) |k|^{\overline{\alpha}}$, where $\kappa = 1$ is the UV cut-off.
8. The symbol $\overline{\int}_{r_1}^{r_2} d^3k$ stands for $\int_{\mathcal{B}_{r_2} \setminus \mathcal{B}_{r_1}} d^3k \, \chi_{\kappa}(k)^2 |k|^{2\overline{\alpha}}$.

3 Transformed Hamiltonians and their ground state vectors

We introduce a sequence of infrared cut-offs

$$\sigma_n := \kappa\epsilon^n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \qquad 0 < \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

and the corresponding cut-off Hamiltonians at fixed total momentum and fixed ultraviolet cut-off κ, i.e.,

$$H_{P,\sigma_n} = \frac{(P - P_{\mathrm{f}})^2}{2} + \lambda \widehat{\int}_{\sigma_n}^{\kappa} d^3k \frac{1}{\sqrt{2|k|}}\{b(k) + b^*(k)\} + H_{\mathrm{f}}.$$

We will also write $H_{P,n} := H_{P,\sigma_n}$ and $E_{P,n} := E_{P,\sigma_n}$.

For $n \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$, we define the Fock spaces

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F} &:= \Gamma\left(L^2\left(\mathbb{R}^3, d^3k\right)\right), \\ \mathcal{F}_n &:= \Gamma\left(L^2\left(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathcal{B}_{\sigma_n}, d^3k\right)\right), \\ \mathcal{F}|_n^{n-1} &:= \Gamma\left(L^2\left(\mathcal{B}_{\sigma_{n-1}} \setminus \mathcal{B}_{\sigma_n}, d^3k\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

In all these Fock spaces we shall use the same symbol Ω to denote the vacuum. Clearly, $\mathcal{F}_n = \mathcal{F}_{n-1} \otimes \mathcal{F}|_n^{n-1}$. For a vector ψ in $\mathcal{F}_{n-1}$ and an operator O on $\mathcal{F}_{n-1}$ we shall use the same symbol to denote the vector $\psi \otimes \Omega$ in $\mathcal{F}_n$ and the operator $O \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{F}|_n^{n-1}}$ on $\mathcal{F}_n$, respectively, where $\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{F}|_n^{n-1}}$ is the identity operator on $\mathcal{F}|_n^{n-1}$ (e.g., $\widehat{\int}_{\kappa\epsilon^{n-1}}^{\kappa} d^3k\, b(k) \upharpoonright \mathcal{F}_n \equiv \widehat{\int}_{\kappa\epsilon^{n-1}}^{\kappa} d^3k\, b(k) \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{F}|_n^{n-1}}$).

Proposition 3.1. *Let $|\lambda|$ be sufficiently small and $|P| < \frac{1}{3}$. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ the Hamiltonian $H_{P,n}$ has a unique (unnormalized) ground state vector $\psi_{P,n}$, corresponding to the ground state energy $E_{P,n}$, that is defined iteratively by $\psi_{P,0} \equiv \Omega$ and, for $n \geq 1$,*

$$\psi_{P,n} := \oint_{\gamma_{P,n}} \frac{dz_n}{H_{P,n} - z_n} \psi_{P,n-1}, \quad \gamma_{P,n} := \left\{ z_n \in \mathbb{C} \;\middle|\; |z_n - E_{P,n}| = \frac{\sigma_n}{3} \right\}.$$

The following properties hold true: The function $\mathcal{B}_{1/3} \ni P \mapsto E_{P,n}$ is analytic for any fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Moreover

$$E_{P-k,n} - E_{P,n} \geq -(\frac{1}{3} + c|\lambda|)|k|, \qquad k \in \mathbb{R}^3, \tag{3.1}$$

$$|\nabla E_{P,n}| \leq \frac{1}{3} + c|\lambda|, \tag{3.2}$$

$$E_{P,n} \leq E_{P,n-1}, \tag{3.3}$$

$$|E_{P,n-1} - E_{P,n}| \leq c_{\Delta E}\lambda^2\sigma_{n-1}, \quad c_{\Delta E} > 1, \tag{3.4}$$

$$Gap\ H_{P,n} \upharpoonright \mathcal{F}_n = \inf_{\phi \perp \psi_{P,n}, \phi \in \mathcal{F}_n} \langle \frac{\phi}{||\phi||}, (H_{P,n} - E_{P,n}) \frac{\phi}{||\phi||} \rangle \geq \frac{\sigma_n}{3}, \tag{3.5}$$

where $c_{\Delta E}$ is a universal constant.

Proof. This set of results can be easily extracted from [Pi03] and [Fr73]. For the first inequality in (3.2) see also [BDP12]. □

Next we introduce the transformed Hamiltonians and some related formulas that will be needed in Lemma 3.10 and for the proof of Theorem 3.12. These definitions have been introduced in [Pi03].

Definition 3.2. Let us introduce the Weyl operators:

$$W_{P,n} \quad := \quad \exp(-\lambda \widehat{\int}_{\sigma_n}^{\kappa} \frac{d^3k}{\sqrt{2}|k|^{\frac{3}{2}}\alpha_{P,n}(k)}\{b(k) - b^*(k)\}), \tag{3.6}$$

$$\widetilde{W}_{P,n} \quad := \quad \exp(-\lambda \widehat{\int}_{\sigma_n}^{\kappa} \frac{d^3k}{\sqrt{2}|k|^{\frac{3}{2}}\alpha_{P,n-1}(k)}\{b(k) - b^*(k)\}), \tag{3.7}$$

where $\alpha_{P,n}(k) := (1 - \hat{k} \cdot \nabla E_{P,n})$. The *transformed* Hamiltonians and the *intermediate* Hamiltonians are given by

$$H^W_{P,n} \quad := \quad W_{P,n} H_{P,n} W^*_{P,n}, \tag{3.8}$$

$$\hat{H}^W_{P,n} \quad := \quad \widetilde{W}_{P,n} H_{P,n} \widetilde{W}^*_{P,n} = \widetilde{W}_{P,n} W^*_{P,n} H^W_{P,n} W_{P,n} \widetilde{W}^*_{P,n}, \tag{3.9}$$

respectively.

Lemma 3.3. *[Pi03] The transformed Hamiltonian has the form*

$$H^W_{P,n} = \frac{\Gamma^2_{P,n}}{2} + \int d^3k\, \alpha_{P,n}(k)|k| b^*(k) b(k) + c^{\sigma_n}_P, \tag{3.10}$$

where

$$\Gamma_{P,n} \quad := \quad \Pi_{P,n} - \frac{\langle W_{P,n}\psi_{P,n},\, \Pi_{P,n} W_{P,n}\psi_{P,n}\rangle}{\langle \psi_{P,n},\, \psi_{P,n}\rangle}, \tag{3.11}$$

$$\Pi_{P,n} \quad := \quad P_{\mathrm{f}} - \lambda \widehat{\int}_{\sigma_n}^{\kappa} \frac{d^3k\, k}{\sqrt{2}|k|^{\frac{3}{2}}\alpha_{P,n}(k)}\{b(k) + b^*(k)\}, \tag{3.12}$$

$$c^{\sigma_n}_P \quad := \quad \frac{P^2}{2} - \frac{1}{2}(P - \nabla E_{P,n})^2 - \lambda^2 \overline{\int}_{\sigma_n}^{\kappa} \frac{d^3k}{2|k|^2\alpha_{P,n}(k)}. \tag{3.13}$$

Lemma 3.4. *[Pi03] The resolvent of the intermediate Hamiltonian (3.9) satisfies*

$$\frac{1}{\hat{H}^W_{P,n} - z_n} = \frac{1}{H^W_{P,n-1} + \Delta c_P|^{n-1}_n - z_n} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \{-H^W_I|^{n-1}_n \frac{1}{H^W_{P,n-1} + \Delta c_P|^{n-1}_n - z_n}\}^j, \tag{3.14}$$

where the expansion in (3.14) requires $\lambda^2\epsilon < c$ for some universal constant c less than 1*, and*

$$H^W_I|^{n-1}_n \quad := \quad \frac{1}{2}(\Gamma_{P,n-1} \cdot (\mathcal{L}|^{n-1}_n + \mathcal{I}|^{n-1}_n) + \mathrm{h.c.}) + \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{L}|^{n-1}_n + \mathcal{I}|^{n-1}_n)^2, \tag{3.15}$$

$$\mathcal{L}|^{n-1}_n \quad := \quad -\lambda \widehat{\int}_{\sigma_n}^{\sigma_{n-1}} d^3k \frac{k(b(k) + b^*(k))}{\sqrt{2}|k|^{3/2}\alpha_{P,n-1}(\hat{k})}, \tag{3.16}$$

$$\mathcal{I}|^{n-1}_n \quad := \quad \lambda^2 \overline{\int}_{\sigma_n}^{\sigma_{n-1}} d^3k \frac{k}{2|k|^3[\alpha_{P,n-1}(\hat{k})]^2}, \tag{3.17}$$

$$\Delta c_P|^{n-1}_n \quad := \quad -\lambda^2 \overline{\int}_{\sigma_n}^{\sigma_{n-1}} \frac{d^3k}{2|k|^2\alpha_{P,n}(\hat{k})}. \tag{3.18}$$

Remark 3.5. We warn the reader that $H^W_I|^{n-1}_n$ depends on P, although this is not reflected by our notation.

Lemma 3.6. *[Pi03] We define*

$$\bar{Q}^{\perp}_{P,n} := 1 - \frac{|\psi_{P,n}\rangle\langle\psi_{P,n}|}{\|\psi_{P,n}\|^2}, \tag{3.19}$$

$$Q^{\perp}_{P,n} := W_{P,n}\bar{Q}^{\perp}_{P,n}W^*_{P,n}, \tag{3.20}$$

$$\tilde{Q}^{\perp}_{P,n} := Q^{\perp}_{P,n} \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{F}|^n_{n+1}}, \tag{3.21}$$

$$\hat{Q}^{\perp}_{P,n} := \widetilde{W}_{P,n}W^*_{P,n}\mathcal{Q}^{\perp}_{P,n}W_{P,n}\widetilde{W}^*_{P,n}. \tag{3.22}$$

There holds the equality

$$\hat{Q}^{\perp}_{P,n} = \tilde{Q}^{\perp}_{P,n-1} - \oint_{\gamma_{P,n}} dw_n \frac{1}{H^W_{P,n-1} + \Delta c_P|^{n-1}_n - w_n} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \{-H^W_I|^{n-1}_n \frac{1}{H^W_{P,n-1} + \Delta c_P|^{n-1}_n - w_n}\}^j . \tag{3.23}$$

Lemma 3.7. *[Pi03] We recall that $\Pi_{P,n}$ is given by (3.12) and define*

$$\hat{\Pi}_{P,n} := \widetilde{W}_{P,n}W^*_{P,n}\Pi_{P,n}W_{P,n}\widetilde{W}^*_{P,n}. \tag{3.24}$$

There holds

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Pi}_{P,n} - \Pi_{P,n-1} = {} & -\lambda \widehat{\int}_{\sigma_n}^{\sigma_{n-1}} d^3k \frac{k(b(k) + b^*(k))}{\sqrt{2}|k|^{3/2}\alpha_{P,n-1}(\hat{k})} \\ & + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \overline{\int}_{\sigma_n}^{\sigma_{n-1}} d^3k \frac{k(-\hat{k}\cdot\nabla E_{P,n} + \hat{k}\cdot\nabla E_{P,n-1})(2 - \hat{k}\cdot\nabla E_{P,n} - \hat{k}\cdot\nabla E_{P,n-1})}{|k|^3[\alpha_{P,n-1}(\hat{k})\alpha_{P,n}(\hat{k})]^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

Lemma 3.8. *[Pi03] We recall that $\Gamma_{P,n}$ is given by (3.11). We define*

$$\hat{\Gamma}_{P,n} := \widetilde{W}_{P,n}W^*_{P,n}\Gamma_{P,n}W_{P,n}\widetilde{W}^*_{P,n}, \tag{3.26}$$

$$\Delta\Gamma_P|^{n-1}_n := \hat{\Gamma}_{P,n} - \Gamma_{P,n-1}, \tag{3.27}$$

$$\Delta'\Gamma_P|^{n-1}_n := -\nabla E_{P,n-1} + \nabla E_{P,n} + \mathcal{L}|^{n-1}_n. \tag{3.28}$$

There holds

$$\Delta\Gamma_P|^{n-1}_n = \Delta'\Gamma_P|^{n-1}_n + \mathcal{I}|^{n-1}_n, \tag{3.29}$$

or, in other words,

$$\hat{\Gamma}_{P,n} - \Gamma_{P,n-1} = -\nabla E_{P,n-1} + \nabla E_{P,n} + \mathcal{L}|^{n-1}_n + \mathcal{I}|^{n-1}_n. \tag{3.30}$$

Remark 3.9. Form now on we require that the distance between $E_{P,n}$ and $E_{P,n-1}$ be smaller than a fraction, say $\frac{1}{2}$, of the radius of the contour path $\gamma_{P,n+1}$ because this will be needed in the proof of Theorem 3.12. From (3.4) we get the following constraint on the coupling constant $|\lambda|$:

$$c_{\nabla E}\lambda^2\sigma_{n-1} < \frac{1}{2}\cdot\frac{1}{3}\cdot\sigma_{n+1} \quad\Rightarrow\quad \lambda^2 < \frac{\epsilon^2}{6c_{\nabla E}}. \tag{3.31}$$

Lemma 3.10. *Define*

$$H^{W+}_{P,n-1} := H^W_{P,n-1} + \Delta c_P|^{n-1}_n, \tag{3.32}$$

$$(H^W_I|^{n-1}_n)_{\mathrm{mix}} := \frac{1}{2}(\Gamma_{P,n-1}\cdot(\mathcal{L}|^{n-1}_n + \mathcal{I}|^{n-1}_n) + \mathrm{h.c.}), \tag{3.33}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(H^W_I|^{n-1}_n)_{\mathrm{mix}} & := (H^W_I|^{n-1}_n)_{\mathrm{mix}} - (\mathcal{L}|^{n-1}_n + \mathcal{I}|^{n-1}_n)\cdot\Gamma_{P,n-1} \\ & = \sum_{i=1}^{3}\frac{1}{2}[(\Gamma_{P,n-1})_i, (\mathcal{L}|^{n-1}_n)_i], \end{aligned} \tag{3.34}$$

$$(H^W_I|^{n-1}_n)_{\mathrm{quad}} := H^W_I|^{n-1}_n - (H^W_I|^{n-1}_n)_{\mathrm{mix}} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{L}|^{n-1}_n + \mathcal{I}|^{n-1}_n)^2. \tag{3.35}$$

For any $0 < \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{2}$, *let* $0 < |\lambda|^{1/2} < \frac{\epsilon^2}{6c_{\nabla E}}$ *be sufficiently small such that Proposition 3.1 holds. Then, for* $w_n \in \gamma_{P,n}$ *and* $z_{n+1} \in \gamma_{P,n+1}$, *the following estimates hold true for universal constants* $c_0, c_2, \ldots, c_5$*:*

$$\|\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1} - w_n}\|_{\mathcal{F}_n} \leq \frac{c_0}{\sigma_n}, \quad \|\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1} - z_{n+1}}(\Gamma_{P,n-1})_i\|_{\mathcal{F}_n} \leq \frac{c_0}{\sigma_{n+1}}, \tag{3.36}$$

$$\|\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1} - z_{n+1}}(\Gamma_{P,n})_i\|_{\mathcal{F}_n} \leq \frac{c_0}{\sigma_{n+1}}, \quad \|\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1} - z_n}(\Gamma_{P,n-1})_i\|_{\mathcal{F}_n} \leq \frac{c_0}{\sigma_n}, \tag{3.37}$$

$$\|\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1} - z_{n+1}}(\mathcal{I}|_n^{n-1})_i\|_{\mathcal{F}_n}, \quad \|\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1} - w_n}H_I^W|_n^{n-1}\|_{\mathcal{F}_n} \leq c_2|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{3.38}$$

$$\|\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1} - z_{n+1}}(\mathcal{L}|_n^{n-1})_i\|_{\mathcal{F}_n}, \quad \|\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1} - z_{n+1}}H_I^W|_n^{n-1}\|_{\mathcal{F}_n} \leq c_2|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{3.39}$$

$$\|\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1} - z_{n+1}}(\Gamma_{P,n-1})_i(\mathcal{L}|_n^{n-1})_{i'}\|_{\mathcal{F}_n} \leq c_2|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{3.40}$$

$$\|\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1} - w_n}(H_I^W|_n^{n-1})_{\text{quad}}\|_{\mathcal{F}_n} \leq c_3|\lambda|\sigma_{n-1}, \tag{3.41}$$

$$\|\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1} - w_n}\Delta(H_I^W|_n^{n-1})_{\text{mix}}\|_{\mathcal{F}_n} \leq c_4|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}\sigma_{n-1}, \tag{3.42}$$

$$\|\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1} - w_n}[H^W_{P,n-1}, \mathcal{L}|_n^{n-1}]\|_{\mathcal{F}_n} \leq c_5|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}\sigma_{n-1}, \tag{3.43}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Big[\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1} - w_n}H_I^W|_n^{n-1}, \mathcal{L}|_n^{n-1}\Big]\|_{\mathcal{F}_n} &\leq \|\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1} - w_n}[H^W_{P,n-1}, \mathcal{L}|_n^{n-1}]\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1} - w_n}H_I^W|_n^{n-1}\|_{\mathcal{F}_n} \\ &+ \|\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1} - w_n}[H_I^W|_n^{n-1}, \mathcal{L}|_n^{n-1}]\|_{\mathcal{F}_n} \leq c_5|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}\sigma_{n-1}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.44}$$

Estimates (3.43) and (3.44) still hold if $\mathcal{L}|_n^{n-1}$ *is replaced with its creation part* $(\mathcal{L}|_n^{n-1})^{(+)}$.

Proof. These estimates are straightforward using the gap estimate in (3.5) and standard operator inequalities. □

In the following we will assume Proposition 3.1, Lemma 3.10, and $|\lambda| < \frac{1}{c_2}$.

Corollary 3.11. *For* $|\lambda|$ *sufficiently small the ground state vectors* $\phi_{P,n}$ *and* $\hat{\phi}_{P,n}$ *of the Hamiltonians* $H^W_{P,n}$ *and* $\hat{H}^W_{P,n}$, *respectively, are iteratively defined by*

$$\hat{\phi}_{P,n+1} := \oint_{\gamma_{P,n+1}} \frac{dz_{n+1}}{\hat{H}^W_{P,n+1} - z_{n+1}}\phi_{P,n}, \quad \phi_{P,n} := W_{P,n}\widetilde{W}^*_{P,n}\hat{\phi}_{P,n}, \quad \phi_{P,0} := \Omega. \tag{3.45}$$

Moreover,

$$|\nabla E_{P,n-1} - \nabla E_{P,n}| \leq c_1[\lambda^2\sigma_{n-1} + \|\frac{\hat{\phi}_{P,n}}{\|\hat{\phi}_{P,n}\|} - \frac{\phi_{P,n-1}}{\|\phi_{P,n-1}\|}\|]. \tag{3.46}$$

Proof. Estimate (3.46) can be shown following the proof of Lemma A.2 of [Pi03].□

Theorem 3.12. *For any δ, with $\frac{1}{4} > \delta > 0$, there exist $\epsilon(\delta) > 0$ and $\lambda_{\max} \equiv \lambda_{\max}(\epsilon(\delta)) > 0$ such that for $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon(\delta)$ and $|\lambda| < \lambda_{\max}$:*
i)

$$\sup_{z_{n+1}\in\gamma_{P,n+1}} \|\frac{1}{H^{W}_{P,n} - z_{n+1}}(\Gamma_{P,n})_i \phi_{P,n}\| \leq \frac{1}{\sigma_n^{\delta}}, \qquad i = 1, 2, 3,$$

ii)

$$\|\hat{\phi}_{P,n+1} - \phi_{P,n}\| \leq |\lambda|^{\frac{1}{4}} \sigma_n^{1-\delta},$$

iii)

$$\|\phi_{P,n+1}\| \geq 1 - 2\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \{|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{4}} \sigma_j^{\frac{1}{4}}\} \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Proof. The claims in i), ii), and iii) are by definition at the $n+1-$th step and they will be denoted as c-i), c-ii), and c-iii), respectively. At the $n-$th step they will be denoted as assumptions a-i), a-ii), and a-iii), respectively.

Claim-i) *(Here we show the implication: a-i), a-ii), a-iii) $\rightarrow$ c-i))*
We use the unitary operator $\widetilde{W}_{P,n} W^{*}_{P,n}$ to switch from the given expression to the expression with 'hats'

$$\| \frac{1}{H^{W}_{P,n} - z_{n+1}}(\Gamma_{P,n})_i \phi_{P,n}\| = \|\widetilde{W}_{P,n} W^{*}_{P,n} \frac{1}{H^{W}_{P,n} - z_{n+1}}(\Gamma_{P,n})_i \phi_{P,n}\| = \| \frac{1}{\hat{H}^{W}_{P,n} - z_{n+1}}(\hat{\Gamma}_{P,n})_i \hat{\phi}_{P,n}\|.$$

We proceed with the full expansion of $\hat{\phi}_{P,n}$, $\frac{1}{\hat{H}^{W}_{P,n} - z_{n+1}}$, and $(\hat{\Gamma}_{P,n})_i$, i.e., we study

$$\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\sum_{l'=0}^{\infty} \oint_{\gamma_n} dw_n \{\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1} - z_{n+1}}(-)H^{W}_{I}|^{n-1}_{n}\}^{l} \frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1} - z_{n+1}}(\Gamma_{P,n-1} + \Delta\Gamma_P|^{n-1}_{n})_i \times \tag{3.47}$$

$$\times \{\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1} - w_n}(-)H^{W}_{I}|^{n-1}_{n}\}^{l'} \frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1} - w_n} \phi_{P,n-1}.$$

By splitting $\Delta\Gamma_P|^{n-1}_{n}$ into

$$\Delta'\Gamma_P|^{n-1}_{n} + \mathcal{I}|^{n-1}_{n}$$

and using (3.36) (3.38) and (3.39), we can write

$$(3.47) \;=\; \sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\sum_{l'=0}^{\infty} \oint_{\gamma_{P,n}} dw_n \{\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1} - z_{n+1}}(-)H^{W}_{I}|^{n-1}_{n}\}^{l} \frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1} - z_{n+1}} \times \tag{3.48}$$

$$\times(\Gamma_{P,n-1} + \Delta'\Gamma_P|^{n-1}_{n})_i \{\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1} - w_n}(-)H^{W}_{I}|^{n-1}_{n}\}^{l'} \frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1} - w_n} \phi_{P,n-1}$$

$$+\mathcal{R}_{P,n-1} \tag{3.49}$$

with

$$\|\mathcal{R}_{P,n-1}\| \leq \sigma_n \cdot \frac{1}{1 - c_2|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}} c_2 |\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - c_2|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \cdot \frac{c_0}{\sigma_n}. \tag{3.50}$$

Next we split the term in (3.48) into

$$\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z_{n+1}}\{(-)H^{W}_{I}|^{n-1}_{n}\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z_{n+1}}\}^{l}(\Gamma_{P,n-1}+\Delta'\Gamma_{P}|^{n-1}_{n})_{i}\phi_{P,n-1} \tag{3.51}$$

$$+\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\sum_{l'=1}^{\infty}\oint_{\gamma_{P,n}}dw_{n}\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z_{n+1}}\{(-)H^{W}_{I}|^{n-1}_{n}\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z_{n+1}}\}^{l}\times \tag{3.52}$$

$$\times(\Gamma_{P,n-1}+\Delta'\Gamma_{P}|^{n-1}_{n})_{i}\{\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-w_{n}}(-)H^{W}_{I}|^{n-1}_{n}\}^{l'}\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-w_{n}}\phi_{P,n-1}.$$

Term (3.51)
We re-write this term as

$$\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\{\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z_{n+1}}(-)H^{W}_{I}|^{n-1}_{n}\}^{l}\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z_{n+1}}(\Gamma_{P,n-1}+\Delta'\Gamma_{P}|^{n-1}_{n})_{i}\phi_{P,n-1}. \tag{3.53}$$

As for the term in (3.53) proportional to $(\Delta'\Gamma_{P}|^{n-1}_{n})_{i}$ (see (3.28)) notice that:

1. In $(\mathcal{L}|^{n-1}_{n})_{i}$ only the term proportional to the creation operator, i.e., $(\mathcal{L}|^{n-1}_{n})^{(+)}_{i}$, gives a nonzero contribution that can be bounded by (see (3.39))

$$\sup_{z_{n+1}\in\gamma_{P,n+1}}\left\{\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\|\{\tfrac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z_{n+1}}(-)H^{W}_{I}|^{n-1}_{n}\}\|^{l}\|\tfrac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z_{n+1}}(\mathcal{L}|^{n-1}_{n})^{(+)}_{i}\phi_{P,n-1}\|\right\}$$
$$\leq\frac{1}{1-c_{2}|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}}\cdot c_{2}|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

2. The contribution coming from $-\nabla E_{P,n-1}+\nabla E_{P,n}$ can be bounded by

$$\sup_{z_{n+1}\in\gamma_{P,n+1}}\left\{\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\|\{\tfrac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z_{n+1}}(-)H^{W}_{I}|^{n-1}_{n}\}\|^{l}|\tfrac{1}{E_{P,n-1}-z_{n+1}}|\right\}c_{1}[\lambda^{2}\sigma_{n-1}+\|\tfrac{\hat{\phi}_{P,n}}{\|\hat{\phi}_{P,n}\|}-\tfrac{\phi_{P,n-1}}{\|\phi_{P,n-1}\|}\|]$$
$$\leq\frac{1}{1-c_{2}|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}}\cdot\frac{c_{0}}{\sigma_{n+1}}\cdot c_{1}\cdot[\lambda^{2}\sigma_{n-1}+4|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{4}}\sigma^{1-\delta}_{n-1}],$$

   where we have used (3.46) and a-ii), a-iii). (Notice that $\|\hat{\phi}_{P,n}\|=\|\phi_{P,n}\|$ and $\|\phi_{P,n-1}\|\geq\|\phi_{P,n}\|$ because of (3.45)).

As for the term in (3.53) proportional to $\Gamma_{P,n-1}$, its norm is bounded by

$$\sup_{z_{n+1}\in\gamma_{P,n+1}}\left\{\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\|\{\tfrac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z_{n+1}}(-)H^{W}_{I}|^{n-1}_{n}\}\|^{l}\|\tfrac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z_{n+1}}(\Gamma_{P,n-1})_{i}\phi_{P,n-1}\|\right\}$$
$$\leq\sup_{z_{n+1}\in\gamma_{P,n+1}}\left\{\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\|\{\tfrac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z_{n+1}}(-)H^{W}_{I}|^{n-1}_{n}\}\|^{l}\|\tfrac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z_{n+1}}(z_{n+1}-z_{n})\tfrac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z_{n}}(\Gamma_{P,n-1})_{i}\phi_{P,n-1}\|\right\}$$
$$+\sup_{z_{n+1}\in\gamma_{P,n+1}}\left\{\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\|\{\tfrac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z_{n+1}}(-)H^{W}_{I}|^{n-1}_{n}\}\|^{l}\|\tfrac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z_{n}}(\Gamma_{P,n-1})_{i}\phi_{P,n-1}\|\right\}$$
$$\leq(\frac{c\epsilon+1}{1-c_{2}|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}})\frac{c_{\Gamma}}{\sigma^{\delta}_{n-1}}.$$

Here we have applied a-i) by observing that

$$\|\tfrac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z_{n+1}}(\Gamma_{P,n-1})_{i}\phi_{P,n-1}\| \tag{3.54}$$
$$=\|\tfrac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z_{n}}(\Gamma_{P,n-1})_{i}\phi_{P,n-1}+\tfrac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z_{n+1}}(z_{n+1}-z_{n})\tfrac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z_{n}}(\Gamma_{P,n-1})_{i}\phi_{P,n-1}\|$$

and (recall that $\langle \phi_{P,n-1}, (\Gamma_{P,n-1})_i \phi_{P,n-1} \rangle = 0$ by construction)

$$\| \frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1} - z_{n+1}} (z_{n+1} - z_n) \|_{\mathcal{F}_{n-1} \ominus \phi_{P,n-1}} \le c\epsilon \,, \tag{3.55}$$

$$\| \frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1} - z_n} (\Gamma_{P,n-1})_i \phi_{P,n-1} \| \le c_\Gamma \| \frac{1}{H^{W}_{P,n-1} - z_n} (\Gamma_{P,n-1})_i \phi_{P,n-1} \| , \tag{3.56}$$

where c_Γ is a universal constant.

Hence

$$\|(3.51)\| \le \frac{1}{1 - c_2 |\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \cdot |\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}} c_2 + \frac{1}{1 - c_2 |\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \cdot \frac{c_0}{\sigma_{n+1}} \cdot c_1 \cdot [\lambda^2 \sigma_{n-1} + 4 |\lambda|^{\frac{1}{4}} \sigma_n^{1-\delta}] + (\frac{c\epsilon + 1}{1 - c_2 |\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}}) \frac{c_\Gamma}{\sigma^{\delta}_{n-1}} . \tag{3.57}$$

Term (3.52)
Firstly, we notice that the norm of the term proportional to $\Delta' \Gamma_P |^{n-1}_n$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l'=1}^{\infty} \oint_{\gamma_{P,n}} dw_n \{ \frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1} - z_{n+1}} (-) H^W_I |^{n-1}_n \}^l \frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1} - z_{n+1}} (\Delta' \Gamma_P |^{n-1}_n)_i \times \\ \times \{ \frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1} - w_n} (-) H^W_I |^{n-1}_n \}^{l'-1} \frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1} - w_n} (-) H^W_I |^{n-1}_n \frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1} - w_n} \phi_{P,n-1} \end{aligned}$$

is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma_n \frac{1}{1 - c_2 |\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \{ \frac{c_0}{\sigma_{n+1}} c_1 [\lambda^2 \sigma_{n-1} + \| \frac{\hat{\phi}_{P,n}}{\|\hat{\phi}_{P,n}\|} - \frac{\phi_{P,n-1}}{\|\phi_{P,n-1}\|} \|] + c_2 |\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}} \} (\frac{1}{1 - c_2 |\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}}) c_2 |\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{c_0}{\sigma_n} \\ & \le c_0 c_2 |\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}} (\frac{1}{1 - c_2 |\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}})^2 \{ \frac{c_0}{\sigma_{n+1}} c_1 [\lambda^2 \sigma_{n-1} + 4 |\lambda|^{\frac{1}{4}} \sigma_n^{1-\delta}] + c_2 |\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}} \} , \end{aligned} \tag{3.58}$$

where we have used a-ii) and a-iii).
As for the term proportional to $\Gamma_{P,n-1}$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l'=1}^{\infty} \oint_{\gamma_{P,n}} dw_n \{ \frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1} - z_{n+1}} (-) H^W_I |^{n-1}_n \}^l \frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1} - z_{n+1}} (\Gamma_{P,n-1})_i \times \\ \times \{ \frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1} - w_n} (-) H^W_I |^{n-1}_n \}^{l'-1} \frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1} - w_n} (-) H^W_I |^{n-1}_n \frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1} - w_n} \phi_{P,n-1} \end{aligned} \tag{3.59}$$

for each summand in the series

$$\sum_{l'=1}^{\infty} \{ \frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1} - w_n} (-) H^W_I |^{n-1}_n \}^{l'-1} \frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1} - w_n} (-) H^W_I |^{n-1}_n \frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1} - w_n} \phi_{P,n-1}$$

consider the first operator $H^W_I |^{n-1}_n$ from the right

$$H^W_I |^{n-1}_n = \frac{1}{2} (\Gamma_{P,n-1} \cdot (\mathcal{L} |^{n-1}_n + \mathcal{I} |^{n-1}_n) + \text{h.c.}) + (H^W_I |^{n-1}_n)_{\text{quad}} .$$

It can be written as

$$(\mathcal{L} |^{n-1}_n + \mathcal{I} |^{n-1}_n) \cdot \Gamma_{P,n-1} + \Delta (H^W_I |^{n-1}_n)_{\text{mix}} + (H^W_I |^{n-1}_n)_{\text{quad}} .$$

The contribution proportional to $\Delta(H_I^W|_n^{n-1})_{\text{mix}} + (H_I^W|_n^{n-1})_{\text{quad}}$ in (3.59), i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\sum_{l'=1}^{\infty}\oint_{\gamma_{P,n}} dw_n\{\frac{1}{H_{P,n-1}^{W+} - z_{n+1}}(-)H_I^W|_n^{n-1}\}^l \frac{1}{H_{P,n-1}^{W+} - z_{n+1}}(\Gamma_{P,n})_i \times \\ \times\{\frac{1}{H_{P,n-1}^{W+} - w_n}(-)(H_I^W|_n^{n-1})\}^{l'-1}\frac{1}{H_{P,n-1}^{W+} - w_n} \times \\ \times (-)\{\Delta(H_I^W|_n^{n-1})_{\text{mix}} + (H_I^W|_n^{n-1})_{\text{quad}}\}\frac{1}{H_{P,n-1}^{W+} - w_n}\phi_{P,n-1}\end{aligned} \tag{3.60}$$

is bounded in norm by

$$\begin{aligned}&\sigma_n \frac{1}{1-c_2|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \cdot \frac{c_0}{\sigma_{n+1}}\frac{1}{1-c_2|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}}(c_4|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}} + c_3|\lambda|)\sigma_{n-1}\frac{c_0}{\sigma_n} \\ &\leq c_0^2(c_4 + c_3)|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}\frac{\sigma_{n-1}}{\sigma_{n+1}}(\frac{1}{1-c_2|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}})^2,\end{aligned} \tag{3.61}$$

where we have used (3.37), (3.41), and (3.42).

As for the contribution proportional to $(\mathcal{L}|_n^{n-1} + \mathcal{I}|_n^{n-1}) \cdot \Gamma_{P,n-1}$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\sum_{l'=1}^{\infty}\oint_{\gamma_{P,n}} dw_n\{\frac{1}{H_{P,n-1}^{W+} - z_{n+1}}(-)H_I^W|_n^{n-1}\}^l \frac{1}{H_{P,n-1}^{W+} - z_{n+1}}(\Gamma_{P,n-1})_i \times \\ \times\{\frac{1}{H_{P,n-1}^{W+} - w_n}(-)H_I^W|_n^{n-1}\}^{l'-1}\frac{1}{H_{P,n-1}^{W+} - w_n}(-)(\mathcal{L}|_n^{n-1} + \mathcal{I}|_n^{n-1}) \cdot \Gamma_{P,n-1} \times \\ \times \frac{1}{H_{P,n-1}^{W+} - w_n}\phi_{P,n-1},\end{aligned} \tag{3.62}$$

we split it into two pieces. The norm of the summand proportional to $\mathcal{I}|_n^{n-1} \cdot \Gamma_{P,n-1}$ can be easily estimated in terms of

$$\sigma_n \frac{1}{1-c_2|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \cdot \frac{1}{1-c_2|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \cdot \frac{c_0}{\sigma_{n+1}} c_I|\lambda|^2\sigma_{n-1} \sup_{w_n\in\gamma_{P,n}} \sum_{j=1}^{3} \|\frac{1}{H_{P,n-1}^{W+} - w_n}(\Gamma_{P,n-1})_j\phi_{P,n-1}\|\frac{c_0}{\sigma_n} \tag{3.63}$$

$$\leq c_0^2(\frac{1}{1-c_2|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}})^2 \cdot (\frac{\sigma_{n-1}}{\sigma_{n+1}}) \cdot c_I|\lambda|^2 \cdot \frac{3c_\Gamma}{\sigma_{n-1}^{\delta}}, \tag{3.64}$$

where we have used that $|\mathcal{I}_n^{n-1}| \leq c_I|\lambda|^2\sigma_{n-1}$, c_I being a universal constant. As for the other summand, we take the operator $(\mathcal{L}|_n^{n-1})_{i'}$, $i' = 1, 2, 3$, next to $(\Gamma_{P,n-1})_i$. In this operation, for $l' \geq 1$, we use the commutators

$$\Big[\{\frac{1}{H_{P,n-1}^{W+} - w_n}(-)H_I^W|_n^{n-1}\}^{l'-1}\frac{1}{H_{P,n-1}^{W+} - w_n}\,,\,(\mathcal{L}|_n^{n-1})_{i'}\Big] \tag{3.65}$$

$$= \Big[\{\frac{1}{H_{P,n-1}^{W+} - w_n}(-)H_I^W|_n^{n-1}\}^{l'-1}\,,\,(\mathcal{L}|_n^{n-1})_{i'}\Big]\frac{1}{H_{P,n-1}^{W+} - w_n} \tag{3.66}$$

$$+\{\frac{1}{H_{P,n-1}^{W+} - w_n}(-)H_I^W|_n^{n-1}\}^{l'-1}[\frac{1}{H_{P,n-1}^{W+} - w_n}, (\mathcal{L}|_n^{n-1})_{i'}]. \tag{3.67}$$

The expression in (3.66) is identically zero for $l' = 1$. For $l' \geq 2$, we study the commutator in (3.66)

$$\Big[\{\frac{1}{H_{P,n-1}^{W+} - w_n}(-)H_I^W|_n^{n-1}\}^{l'-1}\,,\,(\mathcal{L}|_n^{n-1})_{i'}\Big] \tag{3.68}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \{\tfrac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-w_n}(-)H^W_I|^{n-1}_n\}^{l'-2}\Big[\tfrac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-w_n}(-)H^W_I|^{n-1}_n\,,\,(\mathcal{L}|^{n-1}_n)_{i'}\Big] \\
&\quad +\Big[\{\tfrac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-w_n}(-)H^W_I|^{n-1}_n\}^{l'-2}\,,\,(\mathcal{L}|^{n-1}_n)_{i'}\Big]\tfrac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-w_n}(-)H^W_I|^{n-1}_n \\
&= \textstyle\sum_{j=0}^{l'-2}\{\tfrac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-w_n}(-)H^W_I|^{n-1}_n\}^{l'-2-j}\Big[\tfrac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-w_n}(-)H^W_I|^{n-1}_n\,,\,(\mathcal{L}|^{n-1}_n)_{i'}\Big]\{\tfrac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-w_n}(-)H^W_I|^{n-1}_n\}^j
\end{aligned}
$$

and write

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\sum_{l'=1}^{\infty}\oint_{\gamma_{P,n}} dw_n\{\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z_{n+1}}(-)H^W_I|^{n-1}_n\}^l\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z_{n+1}}\Gamma_{P,n-1}\times \\
&\qquad\times\{\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-w_n}(-)H^W_I|^{n-1}_n\}^{l'-1}\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-w_n}(-)\mathcal{L}|^{n-1}_n\cdot\Gamma_{P,n-1}\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-w_n}\phi_{P,n-1}
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.69}
$$

as

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i'=1}^{3}\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\sum_{l'=1}^{\infty}\oint_{\gamma_{P,n}} dw_n\{\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z_{n+1}}(-)H^W_I|^{n-1}_n\}^l\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z_{n+1}}(-)(\Gamma_{P,n-1})_i(\mathcal{L}|^{n-1}_n)_{i'}\times \\
&\qquad\times\{\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-w_n}(-)H^W_I|^{n-1}_n\}^{l'-1}\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-w_n}(\Gamma_{P,n-1})_{i'}\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-w_n}\phi_{P,n-1}
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.70}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\sum_{i'=1}^{3}\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\sum_{l'=1}^{\infty}\oint_{\gamma_{P,n}} dw_n\{\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z_{n+1}}(-)H^W_I|^{n-1}_n\}^l\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z_{n+1}}(-)(\Gamma_{P,n-1})_i(\mathcal{L}|^{n-1}_n)_{i'}\times \\
&\quad\times\{\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-w_n}(-)H^W_I|^{n-1}_n\}^{l'-1}\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-w_n}[H^{W+}_{P,n-1},\,(\mathcal{L}|^{n-1}_n)_{i'}]\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-w_n}(\Gamma_{P,n-1})_{i'}\times \\
&\quad\times\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-w_n}\phi_{P,n-1}
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.71}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\sum_{l'=2}^{\infty}\sum_{j=0}^{l'-2}\oint_{\gamma_{P,n}} dw_n\{\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z_{n+1}}(-)H^W_I|^{n-1}_n\}^l\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z_{n+1}}(\Gamma_{P,n})_i\times \\
&\quad\times\{\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-w'_n}(-)H^W_I|^{n-1}_n\}^{l'-2-j}\Big[\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-w_n}(-)H^W_I|^{n-1}_n\,,\,(\mathcal{L}|^{n-1}_n)_{i'}\Big]\times \\
&\quad\times\{\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-w_n}(-)H^W_I|^{n-1}_n\}^j(\Gamma_{P,n-1})_{i'}\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-w_n}\phi_{P,n-1}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.72}
$$

Invoking (3.37), (3.40), (3.44), (3.43), (3.56), the norm of (3.69) can be finally estimated by

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sigma_n\frac{1}{1-c_2|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}}\cdot c_2|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}\cdot\frac{1}{1-c_2|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}}c_\Gamma\sup_{w_n\in\gamma_{P,n}}\sum_{i'=1}^{3}\|\frac{1}{H^W_{P,n-1}-w_n}(\Gamma_{P,n-1})_{i'}\phi_{P,n-1}\|\frac{c_0}{\sigma_n} \\
+\;&\sigma_n\frac{1}{1-c_2|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}}\cdot c_2|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}\cdot\frac{1}{1-c_2|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}}c_5|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}\sigma_{n-1}c_\Gamma\sup_{w_n\in\gamma_{P,n}}\sum_{i'=1}^{3}\|\frac{1}{H^W_{P,n-1}-w_n}(\Gamma_{P,n-1})_{i'}\phi_{P,n-1}\|\frac{c_0}{\sigma_n} \\
+\;&\sigma_n\frac{1}{1-c_2|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}}\cdot\frac{c_0}{\sigma_{n+1}}\cdot\{\sum_{l'=1}^{\infty}l'(|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}c_2)^{l'-1}\}\cdot c_5|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}\sigma_{n-1}\frac{1}{1-c_2|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}}\frac{c_0}{\sigma_n} \\
\le\;&\frac{c_0}{1-c_2|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}}\cdot c_2|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}(1+c_5|\lambda|^{1/2}\sigma_{n-1})\cdot\frac{1}{1-c_2|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}}\frac{3c_\Gamma}{\sigma^{\delta}_{n-1}} \qquad (3.73)\\
&+\sigma_n\frac{1}{1-c_2|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}}\cdot\frac{c_0}{\sigma_{n+1}}(\frac{1}{1-c_2|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}})^2c_5|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}\sigma_{n-1}\frac{1}{1-c_2|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}}\frac{c_0}{\sigma_n}. \qquad (3.74)
\end{aligned}
$$

The sum of all contributions (3.50), (3.57), (3.58), (3.61), (3.64), and (3.73), yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sigma_n \cdot \frac{1}{1-c_2|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}} c_2|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{1-c_2|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \cdot \frac{c_0}{\sigma_n} \\
&+\frac{1}{1-c_2|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \cdot |\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}} c_2 + \frac{1}{1-c_2|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \cdot \frac{c_0}{\sigma_{n+1}} \cdot c_1 \cdot [\lambda^2 \sigma_{n-1} + 4|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{4}} \sigma_n^{1-\delta}] \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad + (\frac{c\sigma_0+1}{1-c_2|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}}) \frac{c_\Gamma}{\sigma_{n-1}^\delta} \\
&+c_0 c_2|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}} (\frac{1}{1-c_2|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}})^2 \{\frac{c_0}{\sigma_{n+1}} c_1[\lambda^2\sigma_{n-1} + 4|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{4}} \sigma_n^{1-\delta}] + c_2|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}\} \\
&+c_0^2(c_4+c_3)|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\sigma_{n-1}}{\sigma_{n+1}} (\frac{1}{1-c_2|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}})^2 + c_0^2(\frac{1}{1-c_2|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}})^2 \cdot (\frac{\sigma_{n-1}}{\sigma_{n+1}}) \cdot c_I|\lambda|^2 \cdot \frac{3c_\Gamma}{\sigma_{n-1}^\delta} \\
&+\frac{c_0}{1-c_2|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \cdot c_2|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}} (1+c_5|\lambda|^{1/2}\sigma_{n-1}) \cdot \frac{1}{1-c_2|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{3c_\Gamma}{\sigma_{n-1}^\delta} \\
&+\sigma_n \frac{1}{1-c_2|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \cdot \frac{c_0}{\sigma_{n+1}} (\frac{1}{1-c_2|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}})^2 c_5|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma_{n-1} \frac{1}{1-c_2|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{c_0}{\sigma_n} \leq \frac{C^{(i)}}{\sigma_{n-1}^\delta}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some universal constant $C^{(i)}$.
Thus, by choosing $\epsilon \leq \epsilon(\delta) := \min\{\frac{1}{2}; (1/C^{(i)})^{\frac{1}{\delta}}\}$ and

$$[\lambda_{\max}^{(i)}]^{1/2} < (\epsilon^2(\delta)/6c_{\nabla E})$$

we have proven that c-i) is implied by a-i), a-ii), a-iii).

Claim-ii) *(Here we show the implication: c-i) → c-ii))*
We assume $\epsilon \equiv \epsilon(\delta)$ and $|\lambda| < \lambda_{\max}^{(i)}$. We set $m := n+1$ and start from the difference

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\hat{\phi}_{P,n+1} - \phi_{P,n} \\
=\ & \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \oint_{\gamma_{P,m}} dw_m \{\frac{1}{H_{P,m-1}^{W+} - w_m} (-) H_I^W|_m^{m-1}\}^{j-1} \times \\
\times\ & \frac{1}{H_{P,m-1}^{W+} - w_m} (-)[(\mathcal{L}|_m^{m-1} + \mathcal{I}|_m^{m-1}) \cdot \Gamma_{P,m-1} + \Delta(H_I^{W+}|_m^{m-1})_{\text{mix}} + (H_I^W|_m^{m-1})_{\text{quad}}] \times \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \times \frac{1}{H_{P,m-1}^{W+} - w_m} \phi_{P,m-1}.
\end{aligned}
$$

The contribution proportional to $\Delta(H_I^W|_m^{m-1})_{\text{mix}} + (H_I^W|_m^{m-1})_{\text{quad}}$ is bounded in norm by

$$\sigma_m \frac{1}{1-c_2|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \cdot (c_4|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}} + c_3|\lambda|) \sigma_{m-1} \frac{c_0}{\sigma_m}.$$

We can rewrite the rest as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\textstyle\sum_{i'=1}^3 (\mathcal{I}|_m^{m-1})_{i'} \sum_{j=1}^\infty \oint_{\gamma_{P,m}} dw_m (\frac{1}{E_{P,m-1}^+ - w_m}) \{\frac{1}{H_{P,m-1}^{W+} - w_m} (-) H_I^W|_m^{m-1}\}^{j-1} \times \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \times \frac{1}{H_{P,m-1}^{W+} - w_m} (\Gamma_{P,m-1})_{i'} \phi_{P,m-1} \\
&-\textstyle\sum_{i'=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^\infty \oint_{\gamma_{P,m}} dw_m (\frac{1}{E_{P,m-1}^+ - w_m}) \{\frac{1}{H_{P,m-1}^{W+} - w_m} (-) H_I^W|_m^{m-1}\}^{j-1} (\mathcal{L}|_m^{m-1})_{i'}^{(+)} \times
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\times \tfrac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,m-1}-w_m}(\Gamma_{P,m-1})_{i'}\phi_{P,m-1}$$

$$+\textstyle\sum_{i'=1}^{3}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\oint_{\gamma_{P,m}} dw_m(\tfrac{1}{E^{+}_{P,m-1}-w_m})\{\tfrac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,m-1}-w_m}(-)H^{W}_{I}|^{m-1}_{m}\}^{j-1}\tfrac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,m-1}-w_m}[H^{W+}_{P,m-1},(\mathcal{L}|^{m-1}_{m})^{(+)}_{i'}]\times$$

$$\times \tfrac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,m-1}-w_m}(\Gamma_{P,m-1})_{i'}\phi_{P,m-1},$$

where $E^{+}_{P,m-1} := E_{P,m-1}+\Delta c_P|^{m-1}_{m}$. Notice that $|(\mathcal{I}|^{m-1}_{m})_{i'}| \leq c_I|\lambda|^2\sigma_{m-1}$ and

$$\|(\mathcal{L}|^{m-1}_{m})^{(+)}_{i'}\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,m-1}-w_m}(\Gamma_{P,m-1})_{i'}\phi_{P,m-1}\| \leq c|\lambda|\sigma_{m-1}\|\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,m-1}-w_m}(\Gamma_{P,m-1})_{i'}\phi_{P,m-1}\|,$$

where we exploited that $((\mathcal{L}|^{m-1}_{m})^{(+)}_{i'})^{*}$ annihilates vectors from $\mathcal{F}_{m-1}$. Hence by using (3.44) and by applying c-i), we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\|\hat{\phi}_{P,n+1}-\phi_{P,n}\| \;&\leq\; \frac{c_0}{1-c_2|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}}\cdot(c_4|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}+c_3|\lambda|)\sigma_{m-1}\\ &\quad+\Big\{\frac{3c_0\cdot c_I|\lambda|^2\sigma_{m-1}}{1-c_2|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}}+\frac{3c_0}{1-c_2|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}}\cdot c|\lambda|\sigma_{m-1}+\frac{3c_0}{1-c_2|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}}c_5|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}\sigma_{m-1}\Big\}\frac{c_\Gamma}{\sigma^{\delta}_{m-1}}\\ &\leq\; C^{(ii)}|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}\sigma^{1-\delta}_{m-1}=C^{(ii)}|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}\sigma^{1-\delta}_{n}\end{aligned}$$

for some universal constant $C^{(ii)}$, and finally

$$\|\hat{\phi}_{P,n+1}-\phi_{P,n}\| \leq |\lambda|^{\frac{1}{4}}\sigma^{1-\delta}_{n}$$

for

$$|\lambda| < \lambda^{(ii)}_{\max} \equiv \min\{\lambda^{(i)}_{\max};[\frac{1}{C^{(ii)}}]^4\}.$$

Claim-iii) *(Here we show the implication: c-ii) and a-iii) → c-iii))*
We assume $\epsilon \equiv \epsilon(\delta)$ and $|\lambda| < \lambda^{(ii)}_{\max} \equiv \min\{\lambda^{(i)}_{\max};[\frac{1}{C^{(ii)}}]^4\}$. By construction, the difference $\phi_{P,n+1}-\hat{\phi}_{P,n+1}$ equals to

$$\Big(e^{-\lambda\widehat{\int}^{\kappa}_{\sigma_{n+1}}\frac{dk}{\sqrt{2}|k|^{\frac{3}{2}}\alpha_{P,n+1}(k)}\{b(k)-b^{*}(k)\}}\,e^{\lambda\widehat{\int}^{\kappa}_{\sigma_{n+1}}\frac{dk}{\sqrt{2}|k|^{\frac{3}{2}}\alpha_{P,n}(k)}\{b(k)-b^{*}(k)\}}-1\Big)\hat{\phi}_{P,n+1}. \tag{3.75}$$

By using Froehlich's formula (see [Fr73])

$$b(k)\psi_{P,n}=\frac{\lambda\chi_{[\sigma,\kappa)}(k)|k|^{\overline{\alpha}}}{\sqrt{2|k|}}\frac{1}{E_{P,n}-|k|-H_{P-k,n}}\psi_{P,n}$$

and (3.2), the norm of

$$\Big(\exp(-\lambda\widehat{\int}^{\kappa}_{\sigma_{n+1}}\frac{dk}{\sqrt{2}|k|^{\frac{3}{2}}\alpha_{P,n+1}(k)}\{b(k)-b^{*}(k)\})\,\exp(\lambda\widehat{\int}^{\kappa}_{\sigma_{n+1}}\frac{dk}{\sqrt{2}|k|^{\frac{3}{2}}\alpha_{P,n}(k)}\{b(k)-b^{*}(k)\})-1\Big)\hat{\phi}_{P,n+1} \tag{3.76}$$

can be estimated less than

$$\begin{aligned}&c|\lambda|\|\nabla E_{P,n+1}-\nabla E_{P,n}\|\ln\sigma_{n+1}|\|\hat{\phi}_{P,n+1}\| \leq c|\lambda|c_1|\ln\sigma_{n+1}|[\lambda^2\sigma_n+\|\frac{\hat{\phi}_{P,n+1}}{\|\hat{\phi}_{P,n+1}\|}-\frac{\phi_{P,n}}{\|\phi_{P,n}\|}\|]\|\hat{\phi}_{P,n+1}\|\\ \leq\;& c|\lambda|c_1|\ln\sigma_{n+1}|[\lambda^2\sigma_n\|\hat{\phi}_{P,n+1}\|+\|\hat{\phi}_{P,n+1}-\phi_{P,n}\|+|\|\phi_{P,n}\|-\|\hat{\phi}_{P,n+1}\||]\\ \leq\;& c|\lambda|c_1|\ln\sigma_{n+1}|[\lambda^2\sigma_n+2|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{4}}\sigma^{1-\delta}_{n}]\\ \leq\;& C^{(iii)}|\lambda|^{\frac{3}{4}}\sigma^{1-\delta}_{n}|\ln\sigma_{n+1}|,\end{aligned}$$

where we made use of the fact that $\|\phi_{P,n+1}\| \leq 1$ and $C^{(iii)}$ is some universal constant. Thus we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi_{P,n+1}\| &\geq \|\phi_{P,n}\| - \|\hat{\phi}_{P,n+1} - \phi_{P,n+1}\| - \|\hat{\phi}_{P,n+1} - \phi_{P,n}\| \\ &\geq \|\phi_{P,n}\| - C^{(iii)}|\lambda|^{\frac{3}{4}}\sigma_n^{1-\delta}|\ln \sigma_{n+1}| - |\lambda|^{\frac{1}{4}}\sigma_n^{1-\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

If we finally set

$$|\lambda| < \lambda_{\max} \equiv \min\{\lambda_{\max}^{(ii)}; \frac{1}{(C^{(iii)})^4}\}$$

it follows that c-ii) and a-iii) imply c-iii).

The claims are therefore proven for all n provided $|\lambda| < \lambda_{\max}$ because, for $n = 0$, c-i) is trivially fulfilled and c-ii), c-iii) hold true for $|\lambda| < \lambda_{\max}$.

Corollary 3.13. *For $|\lambda| < \lambda_{\max}$ the sequence of (unnormalized) ground state vectors $\{\phi_{P,n}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is convergent as $n \to \infty$ with $\|\phi_{P,n}\| \geq \frac{1}{2}$.*

4 Derivatives of the ground state vector $\psi_{P,n}$ and the ground state energy $E_{P,n}$

In this section we start by deriving the first and the second derivative with respect to P of the normalized ground state vector $\psi_{P,n}$. Consequently we can provide the derivatives with respect to P of the ground state energy $E_{P,n}$ up to the third order. The steps below are justified because the family of operators $\{H_{P,n}\}_{P\in\mathbb{R}^3}$ is analytic of type A and the eigenvalue $E_{P,n}$ is isolated (see Proposition 3.1).

Definition 4.1. We denote

$$\check{\psi}_{P,n} := W^*_{P,n}\check{\phi}_{P,n}, \tag{4.1}$$

where $\check{\phi}_{P,n} := \phi_{P,n}/\|\phi_{P,n}\|$ and $\phi_{P,n}$ is defined in (3.45). The vectors $\check{\psi}_{P,n}$ and $\psi_{P,n}/\|\psi_{P,n}\|$, where $\psi_{P,n}$ is defined in Proposition 3.1, belong to the same ray but may differ by a phase.

Below we set $\partial_i := \partial_{P_i}$. A simple calculation yields

$$\partial_i\check{\psi}_{P,n} = \oint_{\gamma_{P,n}} dz \frac{1}{H_{P,n} - z}(-)(P - P_{\mathrm{f}})_i \frac{1}{H_{P,n} - z}\check{\psi}_{P,n}, \tag{4.2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{i'}\partial_i\check{\psi}_{P,n} &= \oint_{\gamma_{P,n}} dz \frac{1}{H_{P,n} - z}(-)(P - P_{\mathrm{f}})_{i'} \frac{1}{H_{P,n} - z}(-)(P - P_{\mathrm{f}})_i \frac{1}{H_{P,n} - z}\check{\psi}_{P,n} && (4.3) \\ &\quad + \oint_{\gamma_{P,n}} dz \frac{1}{H_{P,n} - z}(-)(P - P_{\mathrm{f}})_i \frac{1}{H_{P,n} - z}(-)(P - P_{\mathrm{f}})_{i'} \frac{1}{H_{P,n} - z}\check{\psi}_{P,n} && (4.4) \\ &\quad + \oint_{\gamma_{P,n}} dz \frac{1}{H_{P,n} - z}(-)(P - P_{\mathrm{f}})_i \frac{1}{H_{P,n} - z} \times && (4.5) \\ &\qquad \times \oint_{\gamma_{P,n}} d\mu \frac{1}{H_{P,n} - \mu}(-)(P - P_{\mathrm{f}})_{i'} \frac{1}{H_{P,n} - \mu}\check{\psi}_{P,n} && (4.6) \\ &= \oint_{\gamma_{P,n}} dz \frac{1}{H_{P,n} - z}(-)(P - P_{\mathrm{f}})_{i'} \frac{1}{H_{P,n} - z}(-)(P - P_{\mathrm{f}})_i \frac{1}{H_{P,n} - z}\check{\psi}_{P,n} && (4.7) \end{aligned}$$

$$+\oint_{\gamma_{P,n}} dz \frac{1}{H_{P,n}-z}(-)(P-P_{\mathrm{f}})_i \frac{1}{H_{P,n}-z}(-)(P-P_{\mathrm{f}})_{i'} \frac{1}{H_{P,n}-z}\check{\psi}_{P,n} \tag{4.8}$$

$$+\check{\psi}_{P,n}\langle\check{\psi}_{P,n}, (P-P_{\mathrm{f}})_i \frac{Q^{\perp}_{P,n}}{(H_{P,n}-E_{P,n})^2}(P-P_{\mathrm{f}})_{i'}\check{\psi}_{P,n}\rangle. \tag{4.9}$$

Using the identity

$$W_{P,n}(P_{\mathrm{f}}-P)W^{*}_{P,n} = \Gamma_{P,n} - \nabla E_{P,n} \tag{4.10}$$

we compute the first and second derivative of the ground state vector $\check{\psi}_{P,n}$ and their transformation under the unitary operator $W_{P,n}$. In detail we obtain:

$$W_{P,n}\partial_i\check{\psi}_{P,n} = W_{P,n}\oint_{\gamma_{P,n}} dz \frac{1}{H_{P,n}-z}(-)(P-P_{\mathrm{f}})_i \frac{1}{H_{P,n}-z}\check{\psi}_{P,n} \tag{4.11}$$

$$= \oint_{\gamma_{P,n}} dz \frac{1}{H^{W}_{P,n}-z}(\Gamma_P - \nabla E_P)_i \frac{1}{H^{W}_{P,n}-z}\check{\phi}_{P,n} \tag{4.12}$$

$$= \frac{1}{H^{W}_{P,n}-E_{P,n}}(\Gamma_{P,n})_i\check{\phi}_{P,n} \tag{4.13}$$

and

$$W_{P,n}\partial_{i'}\partial_i[\check{\psi}_{P,n}] = \oint_{\gamma_{P,n}} dz \frac{1}{H^{W}_{P,n}-z}(\Gamma_{P,n}-\nabla E_{P,n})_{i'} \frac{1}{H^{W}_{P,n}-z}(\Gamma_{P,n}-\nabla E_{P,n})_i \frac{1}{H^{W}_{P,n}-z}\check{\phi}_{P,n} \tag{4.14}$$

$$+\oint_{\gamma_{P,n}} dz \frac{1}{H^{W}_{P,n}-z}(\Gamma_{P,n}-\nabla E_{P,n})_i \frac{1}{H^{W}_{P,n}-z}(\Gamma_{P,n}-\nabla E_{P,n})_{i'} \frac{1}{H^{W}_{P,n}-z}\check{\phi}_{P,n} \tag{4.15}$$

$$+\oint_{\gamma_{P,n}} dz \frac{1}{H^{W}_{P,n}-z}(\Gamma_{P,n}-\nabla E_{P,n})_i \frac{1}{H^{W}_{P,n}-z} \times \tag{4.16}$$

$$\times\oint_{\gamma_{P,n}} d\mu \frac{1}{H^{W}_{P,n}-\mu}(\Gamma_{P,n}-\nabla E_{P,n})_{i'} \frac{1}{H^{W}_{P,n}-\mu}\check{\phi}_{P,n}$$

$$= \frac{Q^{\perp}_{P,n}}{H^{W}_{P,n}-E_{P,n}}(\Gamma_{P,n})_{i'} \frac{1}{H^{W}_{P,n}-E_{P,n}}(\Gamma_{P,n})_i\check{\phi}_{P,n} \tag{4.17}$$

$$+\frac{Q^{\perp}_{P,n}}{H^{W}_{P,n}-E_{P,n}}(\Gamma_{P,n})_i \frac{1}{H^{W}_{P,n}-E_{P,n}}(\Gamma_{P,n})_{i'}\check{\phi}_{P,n} \tag{4.18}$$

$$-\check{\phi}_{P,n}\langle\check{\phi}_{P,n}, (\Gamma_{P,n})_{i'} \frac{1}{(H^{W}_{P,n}-E_{P,n})^2}(\Gamma_{P,n})_i\check{\phi}_{P,n}\rangle \tag{4.19}$$

$$-\check{\phi}_{P,n}\langle\check{\phi}_{P,n}, (\Gamma_{P,n})_i \frac{1}{(H^{W}_{P,n}-E_{P,n})^2}(\Gamma_{P,n})_{i'}\check{\phi}_{P,n}\rangle \tag{4.20}$$

$$-\frac{1}{H^{W}_{P,n}-E_{P,n}}(\nabla E_{P,n})_{i'} \frac{1}{H^{W}_{P,n}-E_{P,n}}(\Gamma_{P,n})_i\check{\phi}_{P,n} \tag{4.21}$$

$$-\frac{1}{H^{W}_{P,n}-E_{P,n}}(\nabla E_{P,n})_i \frac{1}{H^{W}_{P,n}-E_{P,n}}(\Gamma_{P,n})_{i'}\check{\phi}_{P,n} \tag{4.22}$$

$$+\frac{1}{(H^{W}_{P,n}-E_{P,n})^2}(\nabla E_{P,n})_i(\Gamma_{P,n})_{i'}\check{\phi}_{P,n} \tag{4.23}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\frac{1}{(H^W_{P,n}-E_{P,n})^2}(\nabla E_{P,n})_{i'}(\Gamma_{P,n})_i\check{\phi}_{P,n} && (4.24)\\
&+\check{\phi}_{P,n}\langle\check{\phi}_{P,n},(\Gamma_{P,n})_i\frac{1}{(H^W_{P,n}-E_{P,n})^2}(\Gamma_{P,n})_{i'}\check{\phi}_{P,n}\rangle && (4.25)\\
= \quad & \frac{Q^{\perp}_{P,n}}{H^W_{P,n}-E_{P,n}}(\Gamma_{P,n})_{i'}\frac{1}{H^W_{P,n}-E_{P,n}}(\Gamma_{P,n})_i\check{\phi}_{P,n}\\
&+\frac{Q^{\perp}_{P,n}}{H^W_{P,n}-E_{P,n}}(\Gamma_{P,n})_i\frac{1}{H^W_{P,n}-E_{P,n}}(\Gamma_{P,n})_{i'}\check{\phi}_{P,n} && (4.26)\\
&-\check{\phi}_{P,n}\langle\check{\phi}_{P,n},(\Gamma_{P,n})_{i'}\frac{1}{(H^W_{P,n}-E_{P,n})^2}(\Gamma_{P,n})_i\check{\phi}_{P,n}\rangle,
\end{aligned}
$$

where

- (4.17), (4.19), (4.21), and (4.23) come from (4.14);
- (4.18), (4.20), (4.22), and (4.24) come from (4.15);
- (4.25) comes from (4.16).

As for the derivatives of the ground state energy up to third degree, by exploiting symmetry under rotational invariance one finds for some fixed index $i = 1, 2, 3$:

$$
\nabla E_{P,n} = \langle\check{\psi}_{P,n}\,,\ (P-P_{\mathrm{f}})\check{\psi}_{P,n}\rangle \tag{4.27}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial^2_{|P|}E_{P,n} \quad &= \quad 1+2\langle\oint_{\gamma_{P,n}}dz\frac{1}{H_{P,n}-z}(-)(P-P_{\mathrm{f}})_i\frac{1}{H_{P,n}-z}\,\check{\psi}_{P,n},\ (P-P_{\mathrm{f}})_i\check{\psi}_{P,n}\rangle|_{P=|P|\hat{P}_i}\\
&= \quad 1-2\langle(\Gamma_{P,n})_i\,\check{\phi}_{P,n},\ \frac{1}{H^W_{P,n}-E_{P,n}}\,(\Gamma_{P,n})_i\check{\phi}_{P,n}\rangle|_{P=|P|\hat{P}_i} && (4.28)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial^3_{|P|}E_{P,n} \quad = \quad & 2\langle\oint_{\gamma_{P,n}}dz\frac{1}{H_{P,n}-z}(-)(P-P_{\mathrm{f}})_i\frac{1}{H_{P,n}-z}\,\check{\psi}_{P,n},\ (P-P_{\mathrm{f}})_i\times\\
&\quad\times\oint_{\gamma_{P,n}}dz\frac{1}{H_{P,n}-z}(-)(P-P_{\mathrm{f}})_i\frac{1}{H_{P,n}-z}\,\check{\psi}_{P,n}\rangle|_{P=|P|\hat{P}_i}\\
&+2\langle 2\oint_{\gamma_{P,n}}dz\frac{1}{H_{P,n}-z}(-)(P-P_{\mathrm{f}})_i\frac{1}{H_{P,n}-z}(-)(P-P_{\mathrm{f}})_i\times\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\times\frac{1}{H_{P,n}-z}\check{\psi}_{P,n},(P-P_{\mathrm{f}})_i\check{\psi}_{P,n}\rangle|_{P=|P|\hat{P}_i}\\
&+2\langle\oint_{\gamma_{P,n}}dz\frac{1}{H_{P,n}-z}(-)(P-P_{\mathrm{f}})_i\frac{1}{H_{P,n}-z}\times\\
&\quad\times\oint_{\gamma_{P,n}}d\mu\frac{1}{H_{P,n}-\mu}(-)(P-P_{\mathrm{f}})_i\frac{1}{H_{P,n}-\mu}\check{\psi}_{P,n},\ (P-P_{\mathrm{f}})_i\check{\psi}_{P,n}\rangle|_{P=|P|\hat{P}_i}.
\end{aligned}
$$

The third derivative has the form

$$
\partial^3_{|P|}E_{P,n} \quad = \quad 2\langle\oint_{\gamma_{P,n}}dz\frac{1}{H^W_{P,n}-z}(\Gamma_{P,n})_i\frac{1}{H^W_{P,n}-z}\,\check{\phi}_{P,n},\ (-)(\Gamma_{P,n}-\nabla E_{P,n})_i\times
$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\times \oint_{\gamma_{P,n}} dz \frac{1}{H^W_{P,n}-z}(\Gamma_{P,n})_i \frac{1}{H^W_{P,n}-z}\,\check{\phi}_{P,n}\rangle|_{P=|P|\hat{P}_i}\\
&+2\langle 2\oint_{\gamma_{P,n}} dz \frac{1}{H^W_{P,n}-z}(\Gamma_{P,n}-\nabla E_{P,n})_i \frac{1}{H^W_{P,n}-z}(\Gamma_{P,n}-\nabla E_{P,n})_i \times\\
&\quad\times \frac{1}{H^W_{P,n}-z}\check{\phi}_{P,n},\ (-)(\Gamma_{P,n}-\nabla E_{P,n})_i\check{\phi}_{P,n}\rangle|_{P=|P|\hat{P}_i}\\
&+2\langle \oint_{\gamma_{P,n}} dz \frac{1}{H^W_{P,n}-z}(\Gamma_{P,n}-\nabla E_{P,n})_i \frac{1}{H^W_{P,n}-z}\times\\
&\times \oint_{\gamma_{P,n}} d\mu \frac{1}{H^W_{P,n}-\mu}(\Gamma_{P,n}-\nabla E_{P,n})_i \frac{1}{H^W_{P,n}-\mu}\check{\phi}_{P,n},\ (-)(\Gamma_{P,n}-\nabla E_{P,n})_i\check{\phi}_{P,n}\rangle|_{P=|P|\hat{P}_i}.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.29}$$

The first term on the r.h.s. of (4.29) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&2\langle \oint_{\gamma_{P,n}} dz \frac{1}{H^W_{P,n}-z}(\Gamma_{P,n})_i \frac{1}{H^W_{P,n}-z}\,\check{\phi}_{P,n},\ (-)(\Gamma_{P,n}-\nabla E_{P,n})_i \times\\
&\qquad\qquad\times \oint_{\gamma_{P,n}} dz \frac{1}{H^W_{P,n}-z}(\Gamma_{P,n})_i \frac{1}{H^W_{P,n}-z}\,\check{\phi}_{P,n}\rangle|_{P=|P|\hat{P}_i}\\
=\ &2\langle \frac{1}{H^W_{P,n}-E_{P,n}}(\Gamma_{P,n})_i\check{\phi}_{P,n},\ (-)(\Gamma_{P,n}-\nabla E_{P,n})_i \frac{1}{H^W_{P,n}-E_{P,n}}(\Gamma_{P,n})_i\check{\phi}_{P,n}\rangle|_{P=|P|\hat{P}_i}.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.30}$$

The second term on the r.h.s. of (4.29) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&+2\langle 2\oint_{\gamma_{P,n}} dz \frac{1}{H^W_{P,n}-z}(\Gamma_{P,n}-\nabla E_{P,n})_i \frac{1}{H^W_{P,n}-z}(\Gamma_{P,n}-\nabla E_{P,n})_i \times\\
&\qquad\qquad\times \frac{1}{H^W_{P,n}-z}\check{\phi}_{P,n},\ (-)(\Gamma_{P,n}-\nabla E_{P,n})_i\check{\phi}_{P,n}\rangle|_{P=|P|\hat{P}_i}\\
=\ &2\langle 2\oint_{\gamma_{P,n}} dz \frac{1}{H^W_{P,n}-z}(\Gamma_{P,n})_i \frac{1}{H^W_{P,n}-z}(\Gamma_{P,n})_i \frac{1}{E_{P,n}-z}\check{\phi}_{P,n},\ (-)(\Gamma_{P,n}-\nabla E_{P,n})_i\check{\phi}_{P,n}\rangle|_{P=|P|\hat{P}_i}\\
&+2\langle 2\oint_{\gamma_{P,n}} dz \frac{1}{H^W_{P,n}-z}(-\nabla E_{P,n})_i \frac{1}{H^W_{P,n}-z}(\Gamma_{P,n})_i \frac{1}{H^W_{P,n}-z}\check{\phi}_{P,n},\ (-)(\Gamma_{P,n}-\nabla E_{P,n})_i\check{\phi}_{P,n}\rangle|_{P=|P|\hat{P}_i}\\
&+2\langle 2\oint_{\gamma_{P,n}} dz \frac{1}{H^W_{P,n}-z}(\Gamma_{P,n})_i \frac{1}{H^W_{P,n}-z}(-\nabla E_{P,n})_i \frac{1}{H^W_{P,n}-z}\check{\phi}_{P,n},\ (-)(\Gamma_{P,n}-\nabla E_{P,n})_i\check{\phi}_{P,n}\rangle|_{P=|P|\hat{P}_i}\\
=\ &2\langle \frac{2Q^{\perp}_{P,n}}{H^W_{P,n}-E_{P,n}}(\Gamma_{P,n})_i \frac{1}{H^W_{P,n}-E_{P,n}}(\Gamma_{P,n})_i\check{\phi}_{P,n},\ (-)(\Gamma_{P,n}-\nabla E_{P,n})_i\check{\phi}_{P,n}\rangle|_{P=|P|\hat{P}_i} \qquad (4.31)\\
&+2(-)\langle 2(\Gamma_{P,n})_i \frac{1}{(H^W_{P,n}-E_{P,n})^2}(\Gamma_{P,n})_i\check{\phi}_{P,n},\check{\phi}_{P,n}\rangle\langle\check{\phi}_{P,n},(-)(\Gamma_{P,n}-\nabla E_{P,n})_i\check{\phi}_{P,n}\rangle|_{P=|P|\hat{P}_i}. \qquad (4.32)
\end{aligned}$$

The third term on the r.h.s. of (4.29) gives

$$+2\langle(\Gamma_{P,n})_i \frac{1}{(H^W_{P,n}-E_{P,n})^2}(\Gamma_{P,n})_i\check{\phi}_{P,n},\check{\phi}_{P,n}\rangle\langle\check{\phi}_{P,n},(-)(\Gamma_{P,n}-\nabla E_{P,n})_i\check{\phi}_{P,n}\rangle|_{P=|P|\hat{P}_i}. \tag{4.33}$$

Consequently

$$\partial^3_{|P|}E_{P,n} \;=\; 2\langle \frac{1}{H^W_{P,n}-E_{P,n}}(\Gamma_{P,n})_i\check{\phi}_{P,n},\ (-)(\Gamma_{P,n}-\nabla E_{P,n})_i \frac{1}{H^W_{P,n}-E_{P,n}}(\Gamma_{P,n})_i\check{\phi}_{P,n}\rangle|_{P=|P|\hat{P}_i}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+ \quad 2\langle \frac{2Q^{\perp}_{P,n}}{H^{W}_{P,n}-E_{P,n}}(\Gamma_{P,n})_i \frac{1}{H^{W}_{P,n}-E_{P,n}}(\Gamma_{P,n})_i \check{\phi}_{P,n},\ (-)(\Gamma_{P,n}-\nabla E_{P,n})_i \check{\phi}_{P,n}\rangle|_{P=|P|\hat{P}_i} \\
&+ \quad (-)\langle 2(\Gamma_{P,n})_i \frac{1}{(H^{W}_{P,n}-E_{P,n})^2}(\Gamma_{P,n})_i \check{\phi}_{P,n}, \check{\phi}_{P,n}\rangle\langle \check{\phi}_{P,n}, (-)(\Gamma_{P,n}-\nabla E_{P,n})_i \check{\phi}_{P,n}\rangle|_{P=|P|\hat{P}_i} \\
&= \quad 2\langle \frac{1}{H^{W}_{P,n}-E_{P,n}}(\Gamma_{P,n})_i \check{\phi}_{P,n},\ (-)(\Gamma_{P,n})_i \frac{1}{H^{W}_{P,n}-E_{P,n}}(\Gamma_{P,n})_i \check{\phi}_{P,n}\rangle|_{P=|P|\hat{P}_i} \qquad (4.34) \\
&+ \quad 2\langle \frac{2Q^{\perp}_{P,n}}{H^{W}_{P,n}-E_{P,n}}(\Gamma_{P,n})_i \frac{1}{H^{W}_{P,n}-E_{P,n}}(\Gamma_{P,n})_i \check{\phi}_{P,n},\ (-)(\Gamma_{P,n})_i \check{\phi}_{P,n}\rangle|_{P=|P|\hat{P}_i}. \qquad (4.35)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, in order to control (4.27), (4.28), and (4.34)-(4.35), it is enough to derive suitable bounds on the norm of the vectors introduced here below. Note that $\|(\Gamma_{P,n})_i \check{\phi}_{P,n}\|$ is bounded from above uniformly in n.

Definition 4.2. We define the vectors

$$\phi^{(i)}_{P,n} := \frac{1}{H^{W}_{P,n}-E_{P,n}}(\Gamma_{P,n})_i \phi_{P,n}$$

and

$$\phi^{(i',i)}_{P,n} := \frac{Q^{\perp}_{P,n}}{H^{W}_{P,n}-E_{P,n}}(\Gamma_{P,n})_{i'} \frac{1}{H^{W}_{P,n}-E_{P,n}}(\Gamma_{P,n})_i \phi_{P,n}.$$

5 Norm bounds on the auxiliary vectors $\phi^{(i)}_{P,n}$ and $\phi^{(i,j)}_{P,n}$.

Theorem 5.1. *For any* $\frac{1}{4} > \delta > 0$ *there exists* $\epsilon(\delta) \geq \epsilon^*(\delta) > 0$ *and* $\lambda_{\max} \geq \lambda^*_{\max} \equiv \lambda^*_{\max}(\epsilon^*(\delta)) > 0$ *such that for* $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon^*(\delta)$ *and* $|\lambda| < \lambda^*_{\max}$*:*

$$\sup_{z_{n+1}, z'_{n+1} \in \gamma_{P,n+1}} \| \frac{1}{H^{W}_{P,n}-z_{n+1}} \frac{1}{H^{W}_{P,n}-z'_{n+1}}(\Gamma_{P,n})_i \phi_{P,n}\| \leq \frac{1}{\sigma_n^{2\delta}}, \qquad (5.1)$$

$$\sup_{z_{n+1}, z'_{n+1} \in \gamma_{P,n+1}} \| \frac{Q^{\perp}_{P,n}}{H^{W}_{P,n}-z_{n+1}}(\Gamma_{P,n})_i \frac{1}{H^{W}_{P,n}-z'_{n+1}}(\Gamma_{P,n})_{i'} \phi_{P,n})\| \leq \frac{1}{\sigma_n^{2\delta}}. \qquad (5.2)$$

Proof. The inequalities in (5.1) and (5.2) are manifestly true for $n = 0$ because $(\Gamma_{P,0})_i \phi_{P,0} = 0$. Therefore we just assume that (5.1) and (5.2) are fulfilled for $n-1$ ($n \geq 1$) and we prove that, consequently, they hold for n. The proof by induction is similar to proving claim i) in Theorem 3.12. Thereby the proof will be sketched and it will be focussed on the new ingredients.

We use the unitary operator $\widetilde{W}_{P,n} W^*_{P,n}$ to switch from the given expressions to the corresponding expressions with 'hats'

$$\| \frac{1}{H^{W}_{P,n}-z_{n+1}} \frac{1}{H^{W}_{P,n}-z'_{n+1}}(\Gamma_{P,n})_{i'} \phi_{P,n}\| = \| \frac{1}{\hat{H}^{W}_{P,n}-z_{n+1}} \frac{1}{\hat{H}^{W}_{P,n}-z'_{n+1}}(\hat{\Gamma}_{P,n})_{i'} \hat{\phi}_{P,n}\|, \qquad (5.3)$$

$$\| \frac{Q^{\perp}_{P,n}}{H^{W}_{P,n}-z_{n+1}}(\Gamma_{P,n})_i \frac{1}{H^{W}_{P,n}-z'_{n+1}}(\Gamma_{P,n})_{i'} \phi_{P,n}\| = \| \frac{\hat{Q}^{\perp}_{P,n}}{\hat{H}^{W}_{P,n}-z_{n+1}}(\hat{\Gamma}_{P,n})_i \frac{1}{\hat{H}^{W}_{P,n}-z'_{n+1}}(\hat{\Gamma}_{P,n})_{i'} \hat{\phi}_{P,n}\|. \qquad (5.4)$$

We proceed with the full expansion of $\hat{Q}^{\perp}_{P,n}$, $\hat{\phi}_{P,n}$, $\frac{1}{\hat{H}^W_{P,n}-z_{n+1}}$, and $(\hat{\Gamma}_{P,n})_i$. We get, in particular,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\hat{H}^W_{P,n} - z_{n+1}} \frac{1}{\hat{H}^W_{P,n} - z'_{n+1}} (\hat{\Gamma}_{P,n})_{i'} \hat{\phi}_{P,n} && (5.5) \\
= \; & \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l'=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l''=0}^{\infty} \oint_{\gamma_n} dw_n \{ \frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1} - z_{n+1}} (-) H^W_I|^{n-1}_n \}^l \frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1} - z_{n+1}} \times && (5.6) \\
& \times \frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1} - z'_{n+1}} \{ (-) H^W_I|^{n-1}_n \frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1} - z'_{n+1}} \}^{l'} \times && (5.7) \\
& \times (\Gamma_{P,n-1} + \Delta\Gamma_P|^{n-1}_n)_i \{ \frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1} - w_n} (-) H^W_I|^{n-1}_n \}^{l''} \frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1} - w_n} \phi_{P,n-1}. && (5.8)
\end{aligned}
$$

The strategy is to get either

$$
\frac{1}{H^W_{P,n-1} - z_n} \frac{1}{H^W_{P,n-1} - z'_n} (\Gamma_{P,n-1})_i \qquad i = 1, 2, 3
$$

or

$$
\frac{Q^{\perp}_{P,n-1}}{H^W_{P,n-1} - z_n} (\Gamma_{P,n-1})_i \frac{1}{H^W_{P,n-1} - z'_n} (\Gamma_{P,n-1})_{i'} \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3
$$

in front of the vector $\phi_{P,n-1}$ on the very right and to use the inductive hypothesis. For this purpose, we have to elaborate on two tools already exploited in the proof of Theorem 3.12:

i) The use of the resolvent equation as in (3.54) with the crucial estimates (3.55) and (3.56).

ii) The splitting of the expression in (5.5) into two quantities, where in one them the operator $(\mathcal{L}|^{n-1}_n)_{i'}$, $i' = 1, 2, 3$, coming from the first H^W_I on the very right, has been taken next to the original $(\Gamma_{P,n})_i$; see the analogous treatment of (3.62). In this operation we make use of a commutator as in (3.68).

As an example consider the first order in the expansion of each resolvent in (5.3) and the zeroth order for the remaining quantities. For each first order term we keep the leading one

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1} - z_{n+1}} (\mathcal{L}|^{n-1}_n + \mathcal{I}|^{n-1}_n) \cdot \Gamma_{P,n-1} \frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1} - z_{n+1}} \times \\
& \times \frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1} - z'_{n+1}} (\mathcal{L}|^{n-1}_n + \mathcal{I}|^{n-1}_n) \cdot \Gamma_{P,n-1} \frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1} - z'_{n+1}} (\Gamma_{P,n-1})_{i'} \phi_{P,n-1}. \qquad (5.9)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we proceed in two steps:

1. We apply the identity

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1} - z'_{n+1}} (\mathcal{L}|^{n-1}_n + \mathcal{I}|^{n-1}_n)_i \quad = \quad & -[(\mathcal{L}|^{n-1}_n + \mathcal{I}|^{n-1}_n)_i, \frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1} - z'_{n+1}}] \\
& + (\mathcal{L}|^{n-1}_n + \mathcal{I}|^{n-1}_n)_i \frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1} - z'_{n+1}}, \qquad (5.10)
\end{aligned}
$$

   to the second line in (5.9). The first term on the r.h.s. of (5.10) gives rise to sub-leading terms which can be handled with the help of the result in Claim i) of Theorem 3.12

(because we gain a factor σ_{n-1} from the commutator). The second term on the r.h.s. of (5.10) yields

$$\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z_{n+1}}(\mathcal{L}|^{n-1}_{n}+\mathcal{I}|^{n-1}_{n})\cdot\Gamma_{P,n-1}\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z_{n+1}}\sum_{i=1}^{3}(\mathcal{L}|^{n-1}_{n}+\mathcal{I}|^{n-1}_{n})_i\times \tag{5.11}$$
$$\times Q^{\perp}_{P,n-1}\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z'_{n+1}}\cdot(\Gamma_{P,n-1})_i\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z'_{n+1}}(\Gamma_{P,n-1})_{i'}\phi_{P,n-1}$$

$$+\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z_{n+1}}(\mathcal{L}|^{n-1}_{n}+\mathcal{I}|^{n-1}_{n})\cdot\Gamma_{P,n-1}\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z_{n+1}}\sum_{i=1}^{3}(\mathcal{L}|^{n-1}_{n}+\mathcal{I}|^{n-1}_{n})_iQ_{P,n-1}\times \tag{5.12}$$
$$\times Q_{P,n-1}\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z'_{n+1}}\cdot(\Gamma_{P,n-1})_i\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z'_{n+1}}(\Gamma_{P,n-1})_{i'}\phi_{P,n-1}.$$

2. As for the term proportional to $Q^{\perp}_{P,n-1}$ (see (5.11)) we observe that the expression on the first line can be controlled using Lemma 3.10. We re-write the two resolvents on the second line of (5.11) by means of the resolvent equation, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z'_{n+1}} &= \frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z'_{n+1}}[(z'_{n+1}-z'_{n})\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z'_{n}}]^2\\ &\quad+\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z'_{n}}(z'_{n+1}-z'_{n})\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z'_{n}}+\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z'_{n}}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

As the rest of the expression can be controlled by means of Lemma 3.10, we focus on the norm of the vector (by using the identity in (5.13))

$$\begin{aligned}&Q^{\perp}_{P,n-1}\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z'_{n+1}}\cdot(\Gamma_{P,n-1})_i\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z'_{n+1}}(\Gamma_{P,n-1})_{i'}\phi_{P,n-1} \qquad (5.14)\\
=\;&Q^{\perp}_{P,n-1}\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z'_{n}}\cdot(\Gamma_{P,n-1})_i\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z'_{n}}(\Gamma_{P,n-1})_{i'}\phi_{P,n-1}\\
&+Q^{\perp}_{P,n-1}\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z'_{n}}\cdot(\Gamma_{P,n-1})_i\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z'_{n}}\times\\
&\qquad\qquad\times(z'_{n+1}-z'_{n})\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z'_{n}}(\Gamma_{P,n-1})_{i'}\phi_{P,n-1}\\
&+Q^{\perp}_{P,n-1}\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z'_{n}}\cdot(\Gamma_{P,n-1})_i\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z'_{n+1}}\times\\
&\qquad\qquad\times[(z'_{n+1}-z'_{n})\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z'_{n}}]^2(\Gamma_{P,n-1})_{i'}\phi_{P,n-1}\\
&+Q^{\perp}_{P,n-1}\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z'_{n}}\frac{z'_{n+1}-z'_{n}}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z'_{n}}(\Gamma_{P,n-1})_i\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z'_{n}}(\Gamma_{P,n-1})_{i'}\phi_{P,n-1}\\
&+Q^{\perp}_{P,n-1}\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z'_{n}}\frac{z'_{n+1}-z'_{n}}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z'_{n}}(\Gamma_{P,n-1})_i\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z'_{n}}\frac{z'_{n+1}-z'_{n}}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z'_{n}}(\Gamma_{P,n-1})_{i'}\phi_{P,n-1}\\
&+Q^{\perp}_{P,n-1}\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z'_{n}}\frac{z'_{n+1}-z'_{n}}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z'_{n}}(\Gamma_{P,n-1})_i\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z'_{n+1}}\times\\
&\qquad\qquad\times[\frac{z'_{n+1}-z'_{n}}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z'_{n}}]^2(\Gamma_{P,n-1})_{i'}\phi_{P,n-1}\end{aligned}$$

$$+Q^{\perp}_{P,n-1}\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z'_{n+1}}[\frac{z'_{n+1}-z'_{n}}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z'_{n}}]^2(\Gamma_{P,n-1})_i\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z'_{n+1}}\times$$

$$\times[\frac{z'_{n+1}-z'_{n}}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z'_{n}}]^2(\Gamma_{P,n-1})_{i'}\phi_{P,n-1}.$$

$$+Q^{\perp}_{P,n-1}\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z'_{n+1}}[\frac{z'_{n+1}-z'_{n}}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z'_{n}}]^2(\Gamma_{P,n-1})_i\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z'_{n}}\times$$

$$\times\frac{z'_{n+1}-z'_{n}}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z'_{n}}(\Gamma_{P,n-1})_{i'}\phi_{P,n-1}$$

$$+Q^{\perp}_{P,n-1}\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z'_{n+1}}[\frac{z'_{n+1}-z'_{n}}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z'_{n}}]^2(\Gamma_{P,n-1})_i\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z'_{n}}(\Gamma_{P,n-1})_{i'}\phi_{P,n-1}.$$

The norm of each term on the r.h.s. of (5.14) is bounded by $c/\sigma^{2\delta}_{n-1}$ for some universal constant c by making use of the two inductive hypotheses and exploiting the factors $z'_n - z'_{n+1}$ to compensate

$$Q^{\perp}_{P,n-1}\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z'_{n+1}}\,,\quad Q^{\perp}_{P,n-1}\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z'_{n}}$$

(restricted to $\mathcal{F}_{n-1}$) that are left. We note that $\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z'_{n+1}}$ stays always next to the projection $Q^{\perp}_{P,n-1}$ on the r.h.s. of (5.14), since $(\Gamma_{P,n-1})_{i'}\phi_{P,n-1} = Q^{\perp}_{P,n-1}(\Gamma_{P,n-1})_{i'}\phi_{P,n-1}$. Moreover,

$$\|Q^{\perp}_{P,n-1}\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z'_{n+1}}\|_{\mathcal{F}_{n-1}} \leq \frac{c}{\sigma_{n-1}} \tag{5.15}$$

for some universal constant c.

3. As for the term proportional to $Q_{P,n-1}$(see (5.12)), we use twice Claim i) from Theorem 3.12 and the identity in (5.10). In fact, up to sub-leading terms proportional to the commutators, we can study

$$\Big\|\sum_{\hat{i},i=1}^{3}(\mathcal{L}|^{n-1}_{n}+\mathcal{I}|^{n-1}_{n})_{\hat{i}}(\mathcal{L}|^{n-1}_{n}+\mathcal{I}|^{n-1}_{n})_{i}\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z_{n+1}}(\Gamma_{P,n-1})_{\hat{i}}\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z_{n+1}}Q_{P,n-1}\times$$

$$\times Q_{P,n-1}\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z'_{n+1}}(\Gamma_{P,n-1})_{i}\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z'_{n+1}}(\Gamma_{P,n-1})_{i'}\phi_{P,n-1}\Big\|$$

$$\leq \sum_{\hat{i},i=1}^{3}c|\lambda|^2\sigma^2_{n-1}\|\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z_{n+1}}(\Gamma_{P,n-1})_{\hat{i}}\frac{\phi_{P,n-1}}{\|\phi_{P,n-1}\|}\|\,\|\frac{1}{H^{W+}_{P,n-1}-z'_{n+1}}(\Gamma_{P,n-1})_{i'}\phi_{P,n-1}\|\times$$

$$\times\frac{\|(\Gamma_{P,n-1})_{i}\frac{\phi_{P,n-1}}{\|\phi_{P,n-1}\|}\|}{|E^{+}_{P,n-1}-z_{n+1}||E^{+}_{P,n-1}-z'_{n+1}|},$$

where $E^{+}_{P,n-1} = E_{P,n-1}+\Delta c_P|^{n-1}_{n}$. We obtain the desired bound by shifting z_{n+1} to z_n in the resolvents above with the help of formula (5.13) and making use of (3.56) and Claim i) from Theorem 3.12.

If we consider higher order terms in the expansion of $\hat{Q}^{\perp}_{P,n}$, $\hat{\phi}_{P,n}$, $\frac{1}{\hat{H}^{W}_{P,n}-z_{n+1}}$, and $(\hat{\Gamma}_{P,n})_i$, we have to implement similar steps. More specifically, tool ii) will involve a product of l' operators (with l' arbitrary large) as we have seen in Claim i) of Theorem 3.12. $\square$

Corollary 5.2. *For $|\lambda| < \lambda^*_{\max}$, the following estimate hold true for some universal constant c*

$$\|\frac{1}{H^W_{P,n} - E_{P,n}}(\Gamma_{P,n})_i \phi_{P,n}\| = \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{\sigma_n^{\delta}}), \tag{5.16}$$

$$\|\frac{Q^{\perp}_{P,n}}{H^W_{P,n} - E_{P,n}}(\Gamma_{P,n})_i \frac{1}{H^W_{P,n} - E_{P,n}}(\Gamma_{P,n})_{i'} \phi_{P,n}\| = \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{\sigma_n^{2\delta}}), \tag{5.17}$$

$$\|\frac{1}{H^W_{P,n} - E_{P,n}} \frac{1}{H^W_{P,n} - E_{P,n}}(\Gamma_{P,n})_i \phi_{P,n}\| = \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{\sigma_n^{2\delta}}). \tag{5.18}$$

Proof. We start from the estimates in (5.1) and (5.2) to derive the implications below:

1. If

$$\sup_{z_{n+1}, z'_{n+1} \in \gamma_{P,n+1}} \|\frac{Q^{\perp}_{P,n}}{H^W_{P,n} - z_{n+1}}(\Gamma_{P,n})_i \frac{1}{H^W_{P,n} - z'_{n+1}}(\Gamma_{P,n})_{i'} \phi_{P,n}\| \leq \frac{1}{\sigma_n^{2\delta}}, \tag{5.19}$$

then, making use of the identity,

$$\frac{1}{H^W_{P,n} - E_{P,n}}(\Gamma_{P,n})_{i'} \phi_{P,n} = \oint_{\gamma_{P,n+1}} dz'_{n+1} \frac{1}{E_{P,n} - z'_{n+1}} \frac{1}{H^W_{P,n} - z'_{n+1}}(\Gamma_{P,n})_{i'} \phi_{P,n} \tag{5.20}$$

we obtain

$$\|\frac{Q^{\perp}_{P,n}}{H^W_{P,n} - z_{n+1}}(\Gamma_{P,n})_i \frac{1}{H^W_{P,n} - E_{P,n}}(\Gamma_{P,n})_{i'} \phi_{P,n}\| = \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{\sigma_n^{2\delta}}). \tag{5.21}$$

2. Because of the orthogonality expressed in

$$\langle \phi_{P,n}, \frac{Q^{\perp}_{P,n}}{H^W_{P,n} - z_{n+1}}(\Gamma_{P,n})_i \frac{1}{H^W_{P,n} - E_{P,n}}(\Gamma_{P,n})_{i'} \phi_{P,n} \rangle = 0$$

and due to the gap estimate in (3.5), (5.17) follows from (5.21). This can be easily seen in the spectral representation w.r.t. the operator $H^W_{P,n}$.

By a similar argument, inequalities (5.16) and (5.18) are implied by

$$\sup_{z_{n+1} \in \gamma_{P,n+1}} \|\frac{1}{H^W_{P,n} - z_{n+1}}(\Gamma_{P,n})_i \phi_{P,n}\| = \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{\sigma_n^{\delta}}) \tag{5.22}$$

and

$$\sup_{z_{n+1}, z'_{n+1} \in \gamma_{P,n+1}} \|\frac{1}{H^W_{P,n} - z_{n+1}} \frac{1}{H^W_{P,n} - z'_{n+1}}(\Gamma_{P,n})_i \phi_{P,n}\| = \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{\sigma_n^{2\delta}}),$$

respectively. □

It is a standard generalization to extend the previous construction and estimates to a continuous infrared cut-off σ (see [Pi03]):

Definition 5.3. We define the Fock spaces $\mathcal{F}_\sigma := \Gamma(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3 \backslash \mathcal{B}_\sigma, d^3k)$, ground state vectors $\phi_{P,\sigma}$ and $\check{\phi}_{P,\sigma} \equiv \frac{\phi_{P,\sigma}}{\|\phi_{P,\sigma}\|}$, unitary transformations $W_{P,\sigma}$ and expressions $\Gamma_{P,\sigma}$. We also extend the definitions (3.19)-(3.22) to a continuous cut-off σ in a natural manner. In particular, we set

$$\overline{Q}^{\perp}_{P,\sigma} := 1 - |\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}\rangle\langle\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}|. \tag{5.23}$$

where

$$\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma} := \frac{W^*_{P,\sigma}\phi_{P,\sigma}}{\|\phi_{P,\sigma}\|} \tag{5.24}$$

Thereby we omit the details and directly state the results needed in next sections.

Corollary 5.4. *For $|\lambda| < \lambda^*_{\max}$, the following estimates hold true*

$$\|\frac{1}{H^W_{P,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma}} (\Gamma_{P,\sigma})_i \check{\phi}_{P,\sigma}\| = O(\frac{1}{\sigma^\delta}), \tag{5.25}$$

$$\|\frac{Q^\perp_{P,\sigma}}{H^W_{P,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma}} (\Gamma_{P,\sigma})_i \frac{1}{H^W_{P,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma}} (\Gamma_{P,\sigma})_{i'} \check{\phi}_{P,\sigma}\| = O(\frac{1}{\sigma^{2\delta}}), \tag{5.26}$$

$$\|\Big(\frac{1}{H^W_{P,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma}}\Big)^2 (\Gamma_{P,\sigma})_i \check{\phi}_{P,\sigma}\| = O(\frac{1}{\sigma^{2\delta}}). \tag{5.27}$$

Corollary 5.5. *For $|\lambda| < \lambda^*_{\max}$, the following estimates hold true*

$$\|\partial_i \check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}\|\,,\ |\partial^2_{|P|} E_{P,\sigma}| = O(\frac{1}{\sigma^\delta}), \tag{5.28}$$

$$\|\partial_{i'}\partial_i \check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}\|\,,\ |\partial^3_{|P|} E_{P,\sigma}| = O(\frac{1}{\sigma^{2\delta}}). \tag{5.29}$$

Proof of Proposition 2.1: Concerning part (a), strict convexity of $P \mapsto E_P$ and $P \mapsto E_{P,\sigma}$ can be obtained from Theorem 3.12 by following the reasoning from [FP10]. Estimate (2.8) is a consequence of (3.4). The first two bounds in (2.9) are standard (cf. [Pi03, FP10]). As for the bound on the third derivative, it follows from formulas (4.34), (4.35) and Corollary 5.5.

As for part (b) of the proposition, the bound (2.10) follows from Corollary 5.5, the remaining part is standard (see [Pi03]).

Concerning part (c), we recall that by Theorem 3.12, proof of Claim iii),

$$\|\phi_{P,n+1} - \phi_{P,n}\| \le c|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{4}} \sigma_n^{3/4-\delta}, \tag{5.30}$$

and therefore, by Claim iii),

$$\|\check{\phi}_{P,n+1} - \check{\phi}_{P,n}\| \le 4c|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{4}} \sigma_n^{3/4-\delta}. \tag{5.31}$$

Now we write

$$\|\check{\psi}_{P,n+1} - \check{\psi}_{P,n}\| = \|W^*_{P,n+1}\check{\phi}_{P,n+1} - W^*_{P,n}\check{\phi}_{P,n}\| \le \|(1 - W_{P,n}W^*_{P,n+1})\check{\phi}_{P,n}\| + 4c|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{4}}\sigma_n^{3/4-\delta}. \tag{5.32}$$

By definition (3.6), we have

$$W_{P,n}W^*_{P,n+1} = \exp(-\lambda \hat{\int} d^3k\, |k|^{\overline{\alpha}} \left(\frac{\chi_{[\sigma_n,\kappa)}(k)}{\sqrt{2}|k|^{\frac{3}{2}}\alpha_{P,n}(k)} - \frac{\chi_{[\sigma_{n+1},\kappa)}(k)}{\sqrt{2}|k|^{\frac{3}{2}}\alpha_{P,n+1}(k)} \right) \{b(k) - b^*(k)\}. \tag{5.33}$$

Making use of the fact that (see [Fr73])

$$b(k)\psi_{P,n} = \frac{\lambda\chi_{[\sigma,\kappa)}(k)|k|^{\overline{\alpha}}}{\sqrt{2|k|}} \frac{1}{E_{P,n} - |k| - H_{P-k,n}} \psi_{P,n} \tag{5.34}$$

it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(W_{P,n}W^*_{P,n+1} - 1)\check{\phi}_{P,n}\| \quad &\le \quad c|\lambda|\sigma_n^{\overline{\alpha}} + c'|\lambda||\nabla E_{P,n+1} - \nabla E_{P,n}| \\ &\le \quad c|\lambda|\sigma_n^{\overline{\alpha}} + c'|\lambda|c_1[\lambda^2\sigma_n + \|\frac{\hat{\phi}_{P,n+1}}{\|\hat{\phi}_{P,n+1}\|} - \frac{\phi_{P,n}}{\|\phi_{P,n}\|}\|] \\ &\le \quad c|\lambda|\sigma_n^{\overline{\alpha}} + c'|\lambda|c_1[\lambda^2\sigma_n + 4|\lambda|^{1/4}\sigma_n^{1-\delta}], \end{aligned} \tag{5.35}$$

where we employ (3.46) and Theorem 3.12 for the steps from the first to the second and from the second to the last line, respectively. Thus we get

$$\|\check{\psi}_{P,n+1} - \check{\psi}_{P,n}\| \leq c|\lambda|^{1/4}\sigma_n^{\overline{\alpha}} \tag{5.36}$$

from (5.32) together with the constraints $0 \leq \overline{\alpha} \leq 1/2$ and $0 < \delta < 1/4$. Recalling our standing assumption on λ, i.e., $|\lambda|^{1/4} \leq c\varepsilon$, and passing to a continuous cut-off in the standard manner (see [Pi03]), we conclude the proof. □

6 Bounds on the photon wave functions $f^q_{P,\sigma}(k_1, \dots, k_q)$ and on their derivatives up to second degree.

In this section we prove Theorem 2.2. For the sake of clarity, first we provide a detailed proof in the case of $f^{q\equiv 1}_{P,\sigma}(k)$. Then we extend our strategy to any $q \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof of Theorem 2.2: First, one notes that

$$f^q_{P,\sigma}(k_1, \dots, k_q) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{q!}}\langle \Omega, b(k_1)\dots b(k_q)\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}\rangle. \tag{6.1}$$

There holds a formula from [Fr73]

$$b(k)\check{\psi}_{P,n} = v^{\sigma}_{\overline{\alpha}}(k)\frac{1}{E_{P,n} - |k| - H_{P-k,n}}\check{\psi}_{P,n}, \tag{6.2}$$

where $v^{\sigma}_{\overline{\alpha}}(k) = \lambda\frac{\chi_{[\sigma,\kappa)}(k)|k|^{\overline{\alpha}}}{(2|k|)^{\frac{1}{2}}}$. By iterating this equality one gets

$$\prod_{i=1}^{q} b(k_i)\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma} = \sum_{\pi\in\gamma_q}\{(-1)^q\prod_{j=1}^{q}\frac{1}{H_{P-k_{\pi,j},\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|_{\pi,j}}v^{\sigma}_{\overline{\alpha}}(k_{\pi(j)})\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}\}, \tag{6.3}$$

where $\pi \in \gamma_q$ is any permutation of $(1, \dots, q)$ and $k_{\pi,j} = \sum_{i=j}^{q} k_{\pi_i}$, $|k|_{\pi,j} = \sum_{i=j}^{q}|k_{\pi_i}|$ (see [Fr73]). Part (a) and (b) of Theorem 2.2 follows from formulas (6.1), (6.3) and the support properties of $v^{\sigma}_{\overline{\alpha}}$. Now we proceed to the proof of part (c).

Proof of estimate (2.17). Case $q = 1$.

First derivative of $f^1_{P,\sigma}(k)$
Below we set $\partial_i := \partial_{P_i}$. A straightforward calculation yields

$$\partial_i f^1_{P,\sigma}(k) = \partial_i\langle\Omega, b(k)\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}\rangle$$

$$= -\langle\Omega, \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|}\partial_i\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}\rangle v^{\sigma}_{\overline{\alpha}}(k) \tag{6.4}$$

$$+\langle\Omega, \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|}(P - P_{\mathrm{f}} - k - \nabla E_{P,\sigma})_i\frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|}\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}\rangle v^{\sigma}_{\overline{\alpha}}(k). \tag{6.5}$$

The term in (6.4) is bounded by $\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{\sigma^{\delta}}\frac{1}{|k|^{3/2}})$ because of (3.2) and the estimate in (5.25), i.e.,

$$\|W_{P,\sigma}\partial_i\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}\| = \|\frac{1}{H^W_{P,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma}}(\Gamma_{P,\sigma})_i\check{\phi}_{P,\sigma}\| = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\sigma^{\delta}}\right).$$

In order to show the same bound, i.e., $O(\frac{1}{\sigma^{\delta}}\frac{1}{|k|^{3/2}})$, for the term in (6.5) we distinguish two regimes.

If $|P-k| > 1/3$ then (6.5) can be bounded directly thanks to the estimate in (3.1) and the constraint on P, i.e., $P \in S' = \{ P \in \mathbb{R}^3 \,|\, |P| < 1/6 \}$, that yields $|k| \geq |P-k| - |P| \geq 1/6$.

If $|P-k| < 1/3$, we make use of:

- The expansion of $\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}$ truncated at second order, e.g.,

$$\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma} = \check{\psi}_{P-k,\sigma} - k \cdot \nabla_{P'}\check{\psi}_{P',\sigma}|_{P'=P-k} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\hat{i},\hat{i}'=1}^{3} k^{\hat{i}}k^{\hat{i}'}\partial_{\hat{i}}\partial_{\hat{i}'}\check{\psi}_{P',\sigma}|_{P'=P''}, \tag{6.6}$$

  where P'' is some point between P and $P-k$ and we write

$$\begin{aligned}(6.5) \quad = \quad & \langle\Omega, \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|}(P-P_{\mathrm{f}}-k-\nabla E_{P,\sigma})_i \times \\ & \qquad \times \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|}\check{\psi}_{P-k,\sigma}\rangle v_{\frac{\sigma}{\alpha}}(k)\end{aligned} \tag{6.7}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & +\langle\Omega, \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|}(P-P_{\mathrm{f}}-k-\nabla E_{P,\sigma})_i \times \\ & \qquad \times \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|}(-)k\cdot\nabla_{P'}\check{\psi}_{P',\sigma}|_{P'=P-k}\rangle v_{\frac{\sigma}{\alpha}}(k)\end{aligned} \tag{6.8}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & +\langle\Omega, \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|}(P-P_{\mathrm{f}}-k-\nabla E_{P,\sigma})_i \times \\ & \qquad \times \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|}\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\hat{i},\hat{i}'=1}^{3} k^{\hat{i}}k_{\hat{i}'}\partial_{\hat{i}}\partial_{\hat{i}'}\check{\psi}_{P',\sigma}|_{P'=P''}\rangle v_{\frac{\sigma}{\alpha}}(k).\end{aligned} \tag{6.9}$$

- The estimates in (5.25), (5.28), and (5.29) for P' with $|P'| < \frac{1}{3}$, and the operator norm bounds that follow from inequality (3.1)

$$\|\frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|}\|_{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \; , \; \|\frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|}(P-P_{\mathrm{f}}-k-\nabla E_{P,\sigma})_i\|_{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \leq \frac{C}{|k|}. \tag{6.10}$$

Second derivative of $f^{1}_{P,\sigma}(k)$
We shall prove that each term in the second derivative of $f^{1}_{P,\sigma}(k)$ can be estimated $O(\frac{1}{\sigma^{2\delta}}\frac{1}{|k|^{3/2}})$. We set the definitions

$$I^{(i)}_0 := -\langle\Omega, \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|}\partial_i\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}\rangle v_{\frac{\sigma}{\alpha}}(k) \tag{6.11}$$

and

$$I^{(i)}_1 := \langle\Omega, \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|}(P-P_{\mathrm{f}}-k-\nabla E_{P,\sigma})_i\frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|}\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}\rangle v_{\frac{\sigma}{\alpha}}(k)\,.$$

This enables us to write

$$\partial_{i'}\partial_i f^{1}_{P,\sigma}(k) = I^{(i',i)}_0 + I^{(i',i)}_1 \tag{6.12}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} I_0^{(i',i)} &:= \partial_{i'} I_0^{(i)} \\ &= \langle \Omega, \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|} (P - P_{\mathrm{f}} - k - \nabla E_{P,\sigma})_{i'} \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|} \partial_i \check{\psi}_{P,\sigma} \rangle v^{\sigma}_{\overline{\alpha}}(k) \quad (6.13) \\ &\quad -\langle \Omega, \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|} \partial_{i'} \partial_i \check{\psi}_{P,\sigma} \rangle v^{\sigma}_{\overline{\alpha}}(k), \quad (6.14) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} I_1^{(i',i)} &:= \partial_{i'} I_1^{(i)} \\ &= -\langle \Omega, \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|} (P - P_{\mathrm{f}} - k - \nabla E_{P,\sigma})_i \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|} \times \\ &\qquad \times (P - P_{\mathrm{f}} - k - \nabla E_{P,\sigma})_{i'} \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|} \check{\psi}_{P,\sigma} \rangle v^{\sigma}_{\overline{\alpha}}(k) \quad (6.15) \\ &\quad +\langle \Omega, \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|} (\delta_{i,i'} - \partial_i \partial_{i'} E_{P,\sigma}) \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|} \check{\psi}_{P,\sigma} \rangle v^{\sigma}_{\overline{\alpha}}(k) \quad (6.16) \\ &\quad -\langle \Omega, \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|} (P - P_{\mathrm{f}} - k - \nabla E_{P,\sigma})_{i'} \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|} \times \\ &\qquad \times (P - P_{\mathrm{f}} - k - \nabla E_{P,\sigma})_i \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|} \check{\psi}_{P,\sigma} \rangle v^{\sigma}_{\overline{\alpha}}(k) \quad (6.17) \\ &\quad -\langle \Omega, \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|} (P - P_{\mathrm{f}} - k - \nabla E_{P,\sigma})_i \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|} \times \\ &\qquad \times \frac{\bar{Q}^{\perp}_{P,\sigma}}{H_{P,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma}} (P - P_{\mathrm{f}})_{i'} \check{\psi}_{P,\sigma} \rangle v^{\sigma}_{\overline{\alpha}}(k). \quad (6.18) \end{aligned}$$

In the following we shall assume $|P - k| < 1/3$ as for $|P - k| \geq 1/3$ we can directly bound the operator norm of the resolvents thanks to (3.1).

Control of (6.13)
We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} (6.13) &= \langle \Omega, \frac{1}{H^W_{P-k,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|} (P - P_{\mathrm{f}} - k - \nabla E_{P,\sigma})_{i'} \frac{1}{H_{P,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma}} \partial_i \check{\psi}_{P,\sigma} \rangle v^{\sigma}_{\overline{\alpha}}(k) \quad (6.19) \\ &\quad +\langle \Omega, \frac{1}{H^W_{P-k,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|} (P - P_{\mathrm{f}} - k - \nabla E_{P,\sigma})_{i'} \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|} \times \\ &\qquad \times (H_{P,\sigma} - H_{P-k,\sigma} - |k|) \frac{1}{H_{P,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma}} \partial_i \check{\psi}_{P,\sigma} \rangle v^{\sigma}_{\overline{\alpha}}(k), \quad (6.20) \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the resolvent equation

$$\frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|} = \frac{1}{H_{P,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma}} + \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|} (H_{P,\sigma} - H_{P-k,\sigma} - |k|) \frac{1}{H_{P,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma}}. \tag{6.21}$$

(Notice that the r.h.s. is well defined on $\partial_i \check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}$). The first inequality in (3.1) implies the standard estimate

$$\| \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|} (H_{P,\sigma} - H_{P-k,\sigma} - |k|) \|_{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \leq C. \tag{6.22}$$

Finally, the estimate in (5.27) and (6.10) yield the bound $O(\frac{1}{\sigma^{2\delta}}\frac{1}{|k|^{3/2}})$.

Control of (6.14)
The bound $O(\frac{1}{\sigma^{2\delta}}\frac{1}{|k|^{3/2}})$ follows straightforwardly from (5.29) and (3.2).

Control of (6.18)
In order to show the bound $O(\frac{1}{|k|^{3/2}\sigma^{2\delta}})$, first we use the resolvent equation in (6.21) and inequality (3.1) as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (6.18) \\
= \;& \langle \Omega, \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|}(P - P_{\mathrm{f}} - k - \nabla E_{P,\sigma})_i \frac{1}{H_{P,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma}} \times && (6.23) \\
& \quad \times(-)\frac{\overline{Q}^{\perp}_{P,\sigma}}{H_{P,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma}}(P - P_{\mathrm{f}})_{i'}\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}\rangle v_{\frac{\sigma}{\alpha}}(k) && (6.24) \\
& + \langle \Omega, \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|}(P - P_{\mathrm{f}} - k - \nabla E_{P,\sigma})_i \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|} \times \\
& \quad \times(H_{P,\sigma} - H_{P-k,\sigma} - |k|)\frac{1}{H_{P,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma}}(-)\frac{\overline{Q}^{\perp}_{P,\sigma}}{H_{P,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma}}(P - P_{\mathrm{f}})_{i'}\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}\rangle v_{\frac{\sigma}{\alpha}}(k) && (6.25) \\
= \;& \langle \Omega, \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|}(P - P_{\mathrm{f}} - k - \nabla E_{P,\sigma})_i \frac{1}{H_{P,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma}} \times \\
& \quad \times(-)\frac{\overline{Q}^{\perp}_{P,\sigma}}{H_{P,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma}}(P - P_{\mathrm{f}} - \nabla E_{P,\sigma})_{i'}\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}\rangle v_{\frac{\sigma}{\alpha}}(k) && (6.26) \\
& + \langle \Omega, \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|}(P - P_{\mathrm{f}} - k - \nabla E_{P,\sigma})_i \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|}(H_{P,\sigma} - H_{P-k,\sigma} - |k|) \times \\
& \quad \times \frac{1}{H_{P,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma}}(-)\frac{\overline{Q}^{\perp}_{P,\sigma}}{H_{P,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma}}(P - P_{\mathrm{f}} - \nabla E_{P,\sigma})_{i'}\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}\rangle v_{\frac{\sigma}{\alpha}}(k) && (6.27)
\end{aligned}
$$

Both for (6.26) and (6.27) it is enough to invoke the inequalities in (6.10), (6.22), and (5.27).

Control of (6.15), (6.16), and (6.17)
Using the expansion in (6.6) we combine (6.15)+(6.17) with (6.16).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (6.17) + (6.15) \\
= \;& -\langle \Omega, \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|}(P - P_{\mathrm{f}} - k - \nabla E_{P,\sigma})_{i'} \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|} \times \\
& \quad \times(P - P_{\mathrm{f}} - k - \nabla E_{P,\sigma})_i\check{\psi}_{P-k,\sigma}\rangle \frac{1}{E_{P-k,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|} v_{\frac{\sigma}{\alpha}}(k) && (6.28) \\
& -\langle \Omega, \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|}(P - P_{\mathrm{f}} - k - \nabla E_{P,\sigma})_{i'} \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|} \times \\
& \quad \times(P - P_{\mathrm{f}} - k - \nabla E_{P,\sigma})_i \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|}(-)k \cdot \nabla_{P'}\check{\psi}_{P',\sigma}|_{P'=P-k}\rangle v_{\frac{\sigma}{\alpha}}(k) && (6.29) \\
& -\langle \Omega, \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|}(P - P_{\mathrm{f}} - k - \nabla E_{P,\sigma})_{i'} \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|} \times \\
& \quad \times(P - P_{\mathrm{f}} - k - \nabla E_{P,\sigma})_i \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|} \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\hat{i},\hat{i}'=1}^{3} k^{\hat{i}}k^{\hat{i}'}\partial_{\hat{i}}\partial_{\hat{i}'}\check{\psi}_{P',\sigma}|_{P'=P''}\rangle v_{\frac{\sigma}{\alpha}}(k) && (6.30)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\langle\Omega, \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|}(P-P_{\mathrm{f}}-k-\nabla E_{P,\sigma})_i \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|}\times \\
&\quad\times(P-P_{\mathrm{f}}-k-\nabla E_{P,\sigma})_{i'}\check{\psi}_{P-k,\sigma}\rangle \frac{1}{E_{P-k,\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|} v^{\sigma}_{\overline{\alpha}}(k) \qquad (6.31) \\
&-\langle\Omega, \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|}(P-P_{\mathrm{f}}-k-\nabla E_{P,\sigma})_i \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|}\times \\
&\quad\times(P-P_{\mathrm{f}}-k-\nabla E_{P,\sigma})_{i'} \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|}(-)k\cdot\nabla_{P'}\check{\psi}_{P',\sigma}|_{P'=P-k}\rangle v^{\sigma}_{\overline{\alpha}}(k) \qquad (6.32) \\
&-\langle\Omega, \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|}(P-P_{\mathrm{f}}-k-\nabla E_{P,\sigma})_i \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|}\times \\
&\quad\times(P-P_{\mathrm{f}}-k-\nabla E_{P,\sigma})_{i'} \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|}\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\hat{i},\hat{i}'=1}^{3} k^{\hat{i}}k^{\hat{i}'}\partial_{\hat{i}}\partial_{\hat{i}'}\check{\psi}_{P',\sigma}|_{P'=P''}\rangle v^{\sigma}_{\overline{\alpha}}(k) \qquad (6.33)
\end{aligned}
$$

The terms in (6.29)-(6.30) and (6.32)-(6.33) are remainders that can be estimated $O(\frac{1}{\sigma^{2\delta}}\frac{1}{|k|^{3/2}})$ using (6.10), (5.27), (5.28), (5.29). More precisely, in (6.29) we shift the last resolvent on the right as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|} &= \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma}-E_{P-k,\sigma}} \\
&\quad+\frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|}(E_{P,\sigma}-E_{P-k,\sigma}-|k|)\frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma}-E_{P-k,\sigma}}, \qquad (6.34)
\end{aligned}
$$

use formula (4.13) for $\nabla_{P'}\check{\psi}_{P',\sigma}|_{P'=P-k}$ and control the last resolvent on the right with the help of (5.27). The remaining resolvents in (6.29) can be estimated using the standard bounds (6.10). To control the second reminder term (6.30) we apply (6.10) and (5.29).

Now we focus on (6.28) and (6.31): We split the term in (6.28) into two contributions

$$
\begin{aligned}
(6.28) \quad = \quad &-\langle\Omega, \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|}\overline{Q}_{P-k,\sigma}(P-P_{\mathrm{f}}-k-\nabla E_{P,\sigma})_{i'} \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|}\times \\
&\quad\times(P-P_{\mathrm{f}}-k-\nabla E_{P,\sigma})_{i}\check{\psi}_{P-k,\sigma}\rangle \frac{1}{E_{P-k,\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|} v^{\sigma}_{\overline{\alpha}}(k) \qquad (6.35) \\
&-\langle\Omega, \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|}\overline{Q}^{\perp}_{P-k,\sigma}(P-P_{\mathrm{f}}-k-\nabla E_{P,\sigma})_{i'} \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|}\times \\
&\quad\times(P-P_{\mathrm{f}}-k-\nabla E_{P,\sigma})_{i}\check{\psi}_{P-k,\sigma}\rangle \frac{1}{E_{P-k,\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|} v^{\sigma}_{\overline{\alpha}}(k). \qquad (6.36)
\end{aligned}
$$

The term in (6.35) corresponds to

$$
\frac{1}{2}(\partial_i\partial_{i'}E_{P',\sigma}|_{P'=P-k}-\delta_{i,j})\langle\Omega,\check{\psi}_{P-k,\sigma}\rangle\Big[\frac{1}{E_{P-k,\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|}\Big]^2 v^{\sigma}_{\overline{\alpha}}(k)
$$

up to a remainder $O(\frac{1}{\sigma^{\delta}}\frac{1}{|k|^{3/2}})$ associated with the shifts

- $\nabla E_{P,\sigma}\to\nabla E_{P-k,\sigma}$,
- $\frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|}\to\frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma}-E_{P-k,\sigma}}$.

This remainder can be estimated by $O(\frac{1}{\sigma^{\delta}}\frac{1}{|k|^{3/2}})$ by invoking (5.25) and (5.28).

The term in (6.36) corresponds to

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\langle \Omega, \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma}-E_{P-k,\sigma}} \bar{Q}^{\perp}_{P-k,\sigma} (P-P_{\mathrm{f}}-k-\nabla E_{P-k,\sigma})_{i'} \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma}-E_{P-k,\sigma}} \times \\
&\quad \times (P-P_{\mathrm{f}}-k-\nabla E_{P-k,\sigma})_{i} \check{\psi}_{P-k,\sigma} \rangle \frac{1}{E_{P-k,\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|} v^{\sigma}_{\underline{\alpha}}(k) \qquad (6.37)
\end{aligned}
$$

up to a remainder $\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{\sigma^{2\delta}} \frac{1}{|k|^{3/2}})$ associated with the shifts

- $\nabla E_{P,\sigma} \rightarrow \nabla E_{P-k,\sigma}$,
- $\frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|} \rightarrow \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma}-E_{P-k,\sigma}}$,

that we estimate by invoking (5.25), (5.26), (5.27) and (5.28). The term in (6.37) is seen to be $\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{\sigma^{2\delta}} \frac{1}{|k|^{3/2}})$ due to (5.26).
Analogously, we split and control the term in (6.31):

$$
\begin{aligned}
(6.31) \quad = \quad & \frac{1}{2}(\partial_i \partial_{i'} E_{P',\sigma}|_{P'=P-k} - \delta_{i,j}) \langle \Omega, \check{\psi}_{P-k,\sigma} \rangle \Big[\frac{1}{E_{P-k,\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|}\Big]^2 v^{\sigma}_{\underline{\alpha}}(k) \qquad (6.38) \\
& + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{\sigma^{2\delta}} \frac{1}{|k|^{3/2}}).
\end{aligned}
$$

Next we reorganize the term in (6.16):

$$
\begin{aligned}
(6.16) \quad = \quad & \langle \Omega, \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|} (\delta_{i,i'} - \partial_i \partial_{i'} E_{P,\sigma}) \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|} \check{\psi}_{P,\sigma} \rangle v^{\sigma}_{\underline{\alpha}}(k) \\
= \quad & \langle \Omega, \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|} (\delta_{i,i'} - \partial_i \partial_{i'} E_{P,\sigma}) \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|} \check{\psi}_{P-k,\sigma} \rangle v^{\sigma}_{\underline{\alpha}}(k) \qquad (6.39) \\
& + \langle \Omega, \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|} (\delta_{i,i'} - \partial_i \partial_{i'} E_{P,\sigma}) \times \\
& \qquad \times \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|} (-) k \cdot \nabla_{P'} \check{\psi}_{P',\sigma}|_{P'=P-k} \rangle v^{\sigma}_{\underline{\alpha}}(k) \qquad (6.40) \\
& + \langle \Omega, \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|} (\delta_{i,i'} - \partial_i \partial_{i'} E_{P,\sigma}) \times \\
& \qquad \times \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\hat{i},\hat{i}'=1}^{3} k^{\hat{i}} k^{\hat{i}'} \partial_{\hat{i}} \partial_{\hat{i}'} \check{\psi}_{P',\sigma}|_{P'=P''} \rangle v^{\sigma}_{\underline{\alpha}}(k). \qquad (6.41)
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that

- (6.39) equals

$$
(\delta_{i,i'} - \partial_i \partial_{i'} E_{P,\sigma}) \langle \Omega, \check{\psi}_{P-k,\sigma} \rangle \Big[\frac{1}{E_{P-k,\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|}\Big]^2 v^{\sigma}_{\underline{\alpha}}(k). \qquad (6.42)
$$

- (6.40) has the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \langle \Omega, \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|} (\delta_{i,i'} - \partial_i \partial_{i'} E_{P,\sigma}) \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma}-E_{P-k,\sigma}} \times \\
& \qquad \times (-) k \cdot \nabla_{P'} \check{\psi}_{P',\sigma}|_{P'=P-k} \rangle v^{\sigma}_{\underline{\alpha}}(k) \qquad (6.43) \\
& + \langle \Omega, \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|} (\delta_{i,i'} - \partial_i \partial_{i'} E_{P,\sigma}) \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|} \times \\
& \quad \times (E_{P,\sigma} - |k| - E_{P-k,\sigma}) \frac{1}{H_{P-k,\sigma}-E_{P-k,\sigma}} (-) k \cdot \nabla_{P'} \check{\psi}_{P',\sigma}|_{P'=P-k} \rangle v^{\sigma}_{\underline{\alpha}}(k), \qquad (6.44)
\end{aligned}
$$

where both (6.43) and (6.44) are estimated $O(\frac{1}{\sigma^{2\delta}}\frac{1}{|k|^{3/2}})$ due to (5.27) and the standard estimates in (6.10).

- (6.41) is estimated $O(\frac{1}{\sigma^{2\delta}}\frac{1}{|k|^{3/2}})$ by invoking (5.29) and (6.10).

Finally, we observe that

$$(6.39)+(6.35)+(6.38) = (\partial_i\partial_{i'}E_{P',\sigma}|_{P'=P-k} - \partial_i\partial_{i'}E_{P,\sigma})\langle\Omega,\check{\psi}_{P-k,\sigma}\rangle[\frac{1}{E_{P-k,\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|}]^2 v^{\sigma}_{\overline{\alpha}}(k) \tag{6.45}$$

is $O(\frac{1}{|k|^{3/2}\sigma^{2\delta}})$ due to (5.29). This concludes the proof in the case $q=1$.

Proof of estimate (2.17). Case $q \geq 1$**.**

We start from the formula

$$\prod_{i=1}^{q} b(k_i)\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma} = \sum_{\pi\in\gamma_q}\{(-1)^q\prod_{j=1}^{q}\frac{1}{H_{P-k_{\pi,j},\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|_{\pi,j}}v^{\sigma}_{\overline{\alpha}}(k_{\pi(j)})\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}\},$$

where $\pi\in\gamma_q$ is any permutation of $(1,\dots,q)$ and $k_{\pi,j}=\sum_{j'=j}^{q}k_{\pi_{j'}}$, $|k|_{\pi,j}=\sum_{j'=j}^{q}|k_{\pi_{j'}}|$.
We want to prove that

$$|\partial_P^{\beta}\langle\Omega,\prod_{l=1}^{q}b(k_l)\psi_{P,\sigma}\rangle| \leq \frac{D^q}{\sigma^{\delta}}\sum_{\pi\in\gamma_q}\prod_{j=1}^{q}\frac{1}{|k|_{\pi,j}}v^{\sigma}_{\overline{\alpha}}(k_{\pi(j)}) \tag{6.46}$$

for $|\beta|=1,2$ and some $D>0$. Then, with the help of the combinatorial identity from [Fr73]

$$\sum_{\pi\in\gamma_q}\prod_{j=1}^{q}\frac{1}{|k|_{\pi,j}} = \prod_{j=1}^{q}\frac{1}{|k_j|}, \tag{6.47}$$

we obtain the required bound.

First derivative of $f^{q}_{P,\sigma}(k_1,\dots,k_q)$
The first derivative reads

$$\partial_i\langle\Omega,\prod_{j=1}^{q}b(k_j)\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}\rangle \tag{6.48}$$

$$= \langle\Omega,\sum_{\pi\in\gamma_q}(-1)^q\prod_{j=1}^{q}\frac{1}{H_{P-k_{\pi,j}\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|_{\pi,j}}v^{\sigma}_{\overline{\alpha}}(k_{\pi(j)})\partial_i\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}\rangle \tag{6.49}$$

$$+\sum_{\pi\in\gamma_q}(-1)^{q+1}\sum_{n=1}^{q}\langle\Omega,\{\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\frac{1}{H_{P-k_{\pi,j},\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|_{\pi,j}}v^{\sigma}_{\overline{\alpha}}(k_{\pi(j)})\}\frac{1}{H_{P-k_{\pi,n},\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|_{\pi,n}}\times$$

$$\times(P-P_{\mathrm{f}}-k_{\pi,n}-\nabla E_{P,\sigma})_i\{\prod_{j=n}^{q}\frac{1}{H_{P-k_{\pi,j},\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|_{\pi,j}}v^{\sigma}_{\overline{\alpha}}(k_{\pi(j)})\}\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}\rangle \tag{6.50}$$

$$= I_0^{(i)}+\sum_{\pi\in\gamma_q}(-1)^{q+1}\sum_{n=1}^{q}I^{(i)}_{\pi,n}, \tag{6.51}$$

where we set by convention $\{\prod_{j=1}^{0} \ldots\} = 1$ and define

$$I_0^{(i)} := \langle \Omega \, , \sum_{\pi \in \gamma_q} (-1)^q \prod_{j=1}^{q} \frac{1}{H_{P-k_{\pi,j},\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|_{\pi,j}} v_{\frac{\sigma}{\alpha}}(k_{\pi(j)}) \partial_i \check{\psi}_{P,\sigma} \rangle \tag{6.52}$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\pi,n}^{(i)} \quad := \quad & \langle \Omega \, , \{ \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{H_{P-k_{\pi,j},\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|_{\pi,j}} v_{\frac{\sigma}{\alpha}}(k_{\pi(j)}) \} \frac{1}{H_{P-k_{\pi,n},\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|_{\pi,n}} \times \\ & \times (P - P_{\mathrm{f}} - k_{\pi,n} - \nabla E_{P,\sigma})_i \{ \prod_{j=n}^{q} \frac{1}{H_{P-k_{\pi,j},\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|_{\pi,j}} v_{\frac{\sigma}{\alpha}}(k_{\pi(j)}) \} \check{\psi}_{P,\sigma} \rangle . \end{aligned} \tag{6.53}$$

The study of (6.52) and (6.53) is analogous to (6.4) and (6.5), respectively. In the case of (6.53), unless $|P - k_{\pi,j}| \geq 1/3$, we use repeatedly the expansion in (6.6) until the leading term in this expansion stays next on the right of $(P - P_{\mathrm{f}} - k_{\pi,n} - \nabla E_{P,\sigma})_i$. Then we apply (5.25). Notice that if $|P - k_{\pi,j}| \geq 1/3$ we can stop the procedure, since the inequality in (3.1) is enough to control all the resolvents that are on the left and can provide an estimate of the form (6.46). Altogether, there follows the bound

$$|\partial_i \langle \Omega, \prod_{j=1}^{q} b(k_j) \check{\psi}_{P,\sigma} \rangle| \leq \frac{D^q}{\sigma^\delta} \sum_{\pi \in \gamma_q} \prod_{j=1}^{q} \frac{1}{|k|_{\pi,j}} v_{\frac{\sigma}{\alpha}}(k_{\pi(j)}), \tag{6.54}$$

which, together with (6.47), gives the required bound for the first derivative.

Second derivative of $f_{P,\sigma}^{q}(k_1, \ldots, k_q)$
The second derivative is

$$\partial_{i'} \partial_i \langle \Omega, \prod_{l=1}^{q} b(k_l) \psi_{P,\sigma} \rangle = \partial_{i'} I_0^{(i)} + \sum_{\pi \in \gamma_q} (-1)^{q+1} \sum_{n=1}^{q} \partial_{i'} I_{\pi,n}^{(i)}. \tag{6.55}$$

Now we make each term in (6.55) explicit:

- The first term on the r.h.s. of (6.55) has the form

  $$\partial_{i'} I_0^{(i)} = I_0^{(i,i')} + \sum_{\pi \in \gamma_q} (-1)^{q+1} \sum_{n=1}^{q} I_{0,\pi,n}^{(i,i')}, \tag{6.56}$$

  where

  $$I_0^{(i,i')} := \langle \Omega \, , \sum_{\pi \in \gamma_q} (-1)^q \prod_{l=1}^{q} \frac{1}{H_{P-k_{\pi,l},\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|_{\pi,l}} v_{\frac{\sigma}{\alpha}}(k_{\pi(l)}) \partial_{i'} \partial_i \psi_{P,\sigma} \rangle \tag{6.57}$$

  and

  $$\begin{aligned} I_{0,\pi,n}^{(i,i')} \quad := \quad & \langle \Omega \, , \{ \prod_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{H_{P-k_{\pi,l},\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|_{\pi,l}} v_{\frac{\sigma}{\alpha}}(k_{\pi(l)}) \} \frac{1}{H_{P-k_{\pi,n},\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|_{\pi,n}} \times \\ \times \quad & (P - P_{\mathrm{f}} - k_{\pi,n} - \nabla E_{P,\sigma})_{i'} \{ \prod_{l=n}^{q} \frac{1}{H_{P-k_{\pi,l},\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|_{\pi,l}} v_{\frac{\sigma}{\alpha}}(k_{\pi(l)}) \} \partial_i \psi_{P,\sigma} \rangle . \end{aligned} \tag{6.58}$$

- The second term on the r.h.s. of (6.55) is given by

$$\partial_{i'} I^{(i)}_{\pi,n} = I^{(i',i)}_{0,\pi,n} + \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} J^{1}_{\pi,n,l} + \sum_{l=n+1}^{q} J^{2}_{\pi,n,l} + J^{3}_{\pi,n} + J^{4}_{\pi,n}, \tag{6.59}$$

  where

$$\begin{aligned} J^{1}_{\pi,n,l} \quad &:= \quad -\langle \Omega, \{\prod_{j=1}^{l-1} R_j v^{\sigma}_{\overline{\alpha}}(k_{\pi(j)})\} R_l (P - P_{\mathrm{f}} - k_{\pi,l} - \nabla E_{P,\sigma})_{i'} \times \\ &\quad\quad \times \{\prod_{j=l}^{n-1} R_j v^{\sigma}_{\overline{\alpha}}(k_{\pi(j)})\} R_n (P - P_{\mathrm{f}} - k_{\pi,n} - \nabla E_{P,\sigma})_{i} \{\prod_{j=n}^{q} R_j v^{\sigma}_{\overline{\alpha}}(k_{\pi(j)})\} \psi_{P,\sigma} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} J^{2}_{\pi,n,l} \quad &:= \quad -\langle \Omega, \{\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} R_j v^{\sigma}_{\overline{\alpha}}(k_{\pi(j)})\} R_n (P - P_{\mathrm{f}} - k_{\pi,n} - \nabla E_{P,\sigma})_{i} \times \\ &\quad\quad \times \{\prod_{j=n}^{l-1} R_j v^{\sigma}_{\overline{\alpha}}(k_{\pi(j)})\} R_l (P - P_{\mathrm{f}} - k_{\pi,l} - \nabla E_{P,\sigma})_{i'} \{\prod_{j=l}^{q} R_j \xi_{\sigma}(k_{\pi(j)})\} \psi_{P,\sigma} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} J^{3}_{\pi,n,l} \quad &:= \quad -\langle \Omega, \{\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} R_j v^{\sigma}_{\overline{\alpha}}(k_{\pi(j)})\} R_n (P - P_{\mathrm{f}} - k_{\pi,n} - \nabla E_{P,\sigma})_{i'} \times \\ &\quad\quad \times R_n (P - P_{\mathrm{f}} - k_{\pi,n} - \nabla E_{P,\sigma})_{i} \{\prod_{j=n}^{q} R_j v^{\sigma}_{\overline{\alpha}}(k_{\pi(j)})\} \psi_{P,\sigma} \rangle \\ &\quad\quad -\langle \Omega, \{\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} R_j v^{\sigma}_{\overline{\alpha}}(k_{\pi(j)})\} R_n (P - P_{\mathrm{f}} - k_{\pi,n} - \nabla E_{P,\sigma})_{i} \times \\ &\quad\quad \times R_n (P - P_{\mathrm{f}} - k_{\pi,n} - \nabla E_{P,\sigma})_{i'} \{\prod_{j=n}^{q} R_j v^{\sigma}_{\overline{\alpha}}(k_{\pi(j)})\} \psi_{P,\sigma} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$J^{4}_{\pi,n,l} := (\delta_{i,i'} - \partial_i \partial_{i'} E_{P,\sigma}) \langle \Omega, \{\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} R_j v^{\sigma}_{\overline{\alpha}}(k_{\pi(j)})\} R_n \{\prod_{j=n}^{q} R_j v^{\sigma}_{\overline{\alpha}}(k_{\pi(j)})\} \psi_{P,\sigma} \rangle$$

  with

$$R_j := \frac{1}{H_{P-k_{\pi,j},\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|_{\pi,j}}. \tag{6.60}$$

We note that the term $l = n$ does not appear in the sum over l in (6.59) because it is incorporated into $J^{3}_{\pi,n,l}$. Such organization of this expression is important to exhibit the cancellation, which we have seen already in the case $q = 1$ in (6.45) above.

Control of (6.57) and (6.58)
These two terms can be controlled by similar steps as (6.13) and (6.14), respectively.

Control of (6.59)
In order to bound each term in

$$\partial_{i'} I^{(i)}_{\pi,n} = I^{(i,i')}_{0,\pi,n} + \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} J^1_{\pi,n,l} + \sum_{n=l}^{q} J^2_{\pi,n,l} + J^3_{\pi,n} + J^4_{\pi,n}$$

we mimic the steps used in the case $q = 1$:

For the term $I^{(i,i')}_{0,\pi,n}$ we repeat the steps used to control (6.18).

As for $J^1_{\pi,n,l}$, $J^2_{\pi,n,l}$ we implement the procedure used (only one time) for (6.15) and (6.17). This means that, unless $|P - k_{\pi,j}| > 1/3$, we repeatedly exploit the expansion

$$\psi_{P,\sigma} = \psi_{P-k_{\pi,j},\sigma} - k_{\pi,j} \cdot \nabla_{P'} \psi_{P',\sigma}|_{P'=P-k_{\pi,j}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\hat{i},\hat{i}'=1}^{3} (k_{\pi,j})^{\hat{i}} (k_{\pi,j})^{\hat{i}'} \partial_{\hat{i}} \partial_{\hat{i}'} \psi_{P',\sigma}|_{P'=P''} \tag{6.61}$$

(where P'' is some point between P and $P - k_{\pi,j}$) until the leading term of the expansion, $\psi_{P-k_{\pi,j},\sigma}$, stays next to the first $(P - P_{\mathrm{f}} - k_{\pi,n} - \nabla E_{P,\sigma})_{i'}$. (If $|P - k_{\pi,j}| > 1/3$, we can stop the procedure, since the inequality in (3.2) is enough to control all the resolvents that are on the left and can provide an estimate of the form (6.46)). The terms originating from the expansion in (6.61) as well as the final term are controlled with the help of (5.25)-(5.27).

Concerning $J^3_{\pi,n}$, $J^4_{\pi,n}$ we proceed as for the terms (6.15)+(6.17) by making use of a crucial cancellation. First, using the expansion in (6.61), we manipulate the expression

$$\begin{aligned} J^{3(i',i)}_{\pi,n} \quad := \quad & -\langle \Omega, \{ \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} R_j v^{\sigma}_{\overline{\alpha}}(k_{\pi(j)}) \} R_n (P - P_{\mathrm{f}} - k_{\pi,n} - \nabla E_{P,\sigma})_{i'} \times \\ & \times R_n (P - P_{\mathrm{f}} - k_{\pi,n} - \nabla E_{P,\sigma})_i \{ \prod_{j=n}^{q} R_j v^{\sigma}_{\overline{\alpha}}(k_{\pi(j)}) \} \psi_{P,\sigma} \rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{6.62}$$

until we get the expression below plus a remainder $\mathcal{O}(\frac{D^q}{\sigma^\delta} \sum_{\pi \in \gamma_q} \prod_{j=1}^{q} \frac{1}{|k|_{\pi,j}} v^{\sigma}_{\overline{\alpha}}(k_{\pi(j)}))$ controlled with the usual ingredients, seen in the case $q = 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} & -\langle \Omega, \{ \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} R_j v^{\sigma}_{\overline{\alpha}}(k_{\pi(j)}) \} R_n (P - P_{\mathrm{f}} - k_{\pi,n} - \nabla E_{P,\sigma})_{i'} R_n (P - P_{\mathrm{f}} - k_{\pi,n} - \nabla E_{P,\sigma})_i \psi_{P-k_{\pi,n},\sigma} \rangle \times \\ & \times \prod_{j=n}^{q} \frac{1}{E_{P-k_{\pi(j)},\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k_{\pi(j)}|} v^{\sigma}_{\overline{\alpha}}(k_{\pi(j)}) \end{aligned} \tag{6.63}$$

$$\begin{aligned} = \quad & -\langle \Omega, \{ \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} R_j v^{\sigma}_{\overline{\alpha}}(k_{\pi(j)}) \} R_n \overline{Q}_{P-k_{\pi,n},\sigma} (P - P_{\mathrm{f}} - k_{\pi,n} - \nabla E_{P,\sigma})_{i'} \times \\ & \times R_n (P - P_{\mathrm{f}} - k_{\pi,n} - \nabla E_{P,\sigma})_i \psi_{P-k_{\pi,n},\sigma} \rangle \prod_{j=n}^{q} \frac{1}{E_{P-k_{\pi(j)},\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k_{\pi(j)}|} v^{\sigma}_{\overline{\alpha}}(k_{\pi(j)}) \end{aligned} \tag{6.64}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & -\langle \Omega, \{ \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} R_j v^{\sigma}_{\overline{\alpha}}(k_{\pi(j)}) \} R_n \overline{Q}^{\perp}_{P-k_{\pi,n},\sigma} (P - P_{\mathrm{f}} - k_{\pi,n} - \nabla E_{P,\sigma})_{i'} \times \\ & \times R_n (P - P_{\mathrm{f}} - k_{\pi,n} - \nabla E_{P,\sigma})_i \psi_{P-k_{\pi,n},\sigma} \rangle \prod_{j=n}^{q} \frac{1}{E_{P-k_{\pi(j)},\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k_{\pi(j)}|} v^{\sigma}_{\overline{\alpha}}(k_{\pi(j)}). \end{aligned} \tag{6.65}$$

The sum of the term (6.64) and its companion from $J_{\pi,n}^{3(i,i')}$ give

$$-\langle\Omega,\{\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} R_j v_{\overline{\alpha}}^{\sigma}(k_{\pi(j)})\} R_n \psi_{P-k_{\pi,n},\sigma}\rangle(\delta_{i,i'}-\partial_i\partial_{i'}E_{P-k_{\pi,n},\sigma})\prod_{j=n}^{q}\frac{1}{E_{P-k_{\pi(j)},\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k_{\pi(j)}|}v_{\overline{\alpha}}^{\sigma}(k_{\pi(j)}). \tag{6.66}$$

Term (6.65) and its companion from $J_{\pi,n}^{3(i,i')}$ can be estimated by $\mathcal{O}(\frac{D^q}{\sigma^\delta}\sum_{\pi\in\gamma_q}\prod_{j=1}^{q}\frac{1}{|k|_{\pi,j}}v_{\overline{\alpha}}^{\sigma}(k_{\pi(j)}))$ with the help of (5.26). As for $J_{\pi,n,l}^{4}$, an analogous procedure yields the identity

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\pi,n,l}^{4} &= (\delta_{i,i'}-\partial_i\partial_{i'}E_{P,\sigma})\langle\Omega,\{\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} R_j v_{\overline{\alpha}}^{\sigma}(k_{\pi(j)})\} R_n \psi_{P-k_{\pi,n},\sigma}\rangle\prod_{j=n}^{q}\frac{1}{E_{P-k_{\pi(j)},\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k_{\pi(j)}|}v_{\overline{\alpha}}^{\sigma}(k_{\pi(j)}) \\ &\quad +\mathcal{O}\Big(\frac{D^q}{\sigma^\delta}\sum_{\pi\in\gamma_q}\prod_{j=1}^{q}\frac{1}{|k|_{\pi,j}}v_{\overline{\alpha}}^{\sigma}(k_{\pi(j)})\Big). \end{aligned} \tag{6.67}$$

Finally, using (5.29) we can estimate

$$|\partial_i\partial_{i'}E_{P-k_{\pi,n},\sigma}-\partial_i\partial_{i'}E_{P,\sigma}|\leq\mathcal{O}\Big(\frac{|k_{\pi,n}|}{\sigma^\delta}\Big)$$

and, consequently,

$$(6.66)+(6.67)=\mathcal{O}\Big(\frac{D^q}{\sigma^\delta}\sum_{\pi\in\gamma_q}\prod_{j=1}^{q}\frac{1}{|k|_{\pi,j}}v_{\overline{\alpha}}^{\sigma}(k_{\pi(j)})\Big). \tag{6.68}$$

which concludes the proof of estimate (2.17).

Proof of estimate (2.16).

Proof of this estimate is elementary, in particular it does not require the bounds from Corollary 5.4 and Corollary 5.5, but only standard resolvent estimates. We include it here for completeness and as a preparation for a slightly more involved proof of estimate (2.18).

We recall again from [Fr73] that

$$\begin{aligned} f_{P,\sigma}^{q}(k_1,\ldots,k_q) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{q!}}\langle\Omega,\prod_{j=1}^{q}b(k_j)\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}\rangle \\ &= \frac{(-1)^q}{\sqrt{q!}}\sum_{\pi\in\gamma_q}\Big\{\langle\Omega,\prod_{j=1}^{q}\frac{1}{H_{P-k_{\pi,j},\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|_{\pi,j}}v_{\overline{\alpha}}^{\sigma}(k_{\pi(j)})\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}\rangle\Big\}, \end{aligned} \tag{6.69}$$

where γ_q is the set of permutations of an q-element set and for any $\pi\in\gamma_q$ we set $k_{\pi,j}=\sum_{j'=j}^{q}k_{\pi(j')}$ and $|k|_{\pi,j}=\sum_{j'=j}^{q}|k_{\pi(j')}|$. We define

$$I_\pi := (v_{\overline{\alpha}}^{\sigma}(k_1)\ldots v_{\overline{\alpha}}^{\sigma}(k_q))\langle\Omega,\prod_{j=1}^{q}\frac{1}{H_{P-k_{\pi,j},\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|_{\pi,j}}\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}\rangle. \tag{6.70}$$

The first derivative of this expression w.r.t. k_l has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{k_l^i}I_\pi &= (v_{\overline{\alpha}}^{\sigma}(k_1)\ldots\partial_{k_l^i}v_{\overline{\alpha}}^{\sigma}(k_l)\ldots v_{\overline{\alpha}}^{\sigma}(k_q))\langle\Omega,\prod_{j=1}^{q}\frac{1}{H_{P-k_{\pi,j},\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|_{\pi,j}}\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}\rangle \\ &\quad +(v_{\overline{\alpha}}^{\sigma}(k_1)\ldots v_{\overline{\alpha}}^{\sigma}(k_q))\partial_{k_l^i}\langle\Omega,\prod_{j=1}^{q}\frac{1}{H_{P-k_{\pi,j},\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|_{\pi,j}}\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{6.71}$$

The second derivative of I_π is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{k_l^i}\partial_{k_l^{i'}} I_\pi = {} & (v_{\overline{\alpha}}^{\sigma}(k_1)\dots\partial_{k_l^i}\partial_{k_l^{i'}} v_{\overline{\alpha}}^{\sigma}(k_l)\dots v_{\overline{\alpha}}^{\sigma}(k_q))\langle\Omega, \prod_{j=1}^{q}\frac{1}{H_{P-k_{\pi,j},\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|_{\pi,j}}\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}\rangle \\
& +\Big((v_{\overline{\alpha}}^{\sigma}(k_1)\dots\partial_{k_l^i} v_{\overline{\alpha}}^{\sigma}(k_l)\dots v_{\overline{\alpha}}^{\sigma}(k_q))\partial_{k_l^{i'}}\langle\Omega, \prod_{j=1}^{q}\frac{1}{H_{P-k_{\pi,j},\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|_{\pi,j}}\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}\rangle+\{i\leftrightarrow i'\}\Big) \\
& +(v_{\overline{\alpha}}^{\sigma}(k_1)\dots v_{\overline{\alpha}}^{\sigma}(k_q))\partial_{k_l^i}\partial_{k_l^{i'}}\langle\Omega, \prod_{j=1}^{q}\frac{1}{H_{P-k_{\pi,j},\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|_{\pi,j}}\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}\rangle. \qquad (6.72)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us denote by $(\partial_{k_l} I_\pi)^{(1)}$ the first term on the r.h.s. of (6.71). Making use of the fact that $|\partial_{k_l^i}\chi_{[\sigma,\kappa)}(k_l)| \leq c\chi_{[\sigma,\kappa_*)}(k_l)$ and $|\partial_{k_l^i}\partial_{k_l^{i'}}\chi_{[\sigma,\kappa)}(k_l)| \leq c\chi_{[\sigma,\kappa_*)}(k_l)$ we obtain that

$$
|\partial_{k_l^i} v_{\overline{\alpha}}^{\sigma}(k_l)| \leq \frac{c}{|k_l|}\lambda\frac{\chi_{[\sigma,\kappa_*)}(k_l)|k_l|^{\overline{\alpha}}}{(2|k_l|)^{1/2}}, \qquad (6.73)
$$

$$
|\partial_{k_l^i}\partial_{k_l^{i'}} v_{\overline{\alpha}}^{\sigma}(k_l)| \leq \frac{c}{|k_l|^2}\lambda\frac{\chi_{[\sigma,\kappa_*)}(k_l)|k_l|^{\overline{\alpha}}}{(2|k_l|)^{1/2}}. \qquad (6.74)
$$

Moreover, using (6.10), we obtain

$$
|(\partial_{k_l^i} I_\pi)^{(1)}| \leq \frac{c^q}{|k_l|}\prod_{j=1}^{q}\Big(\lambda\frac{\chi_{[\sigma,\kappa_*)}(k_j)|k_j|^{\overline{\alpha}}}{(2|k_j|)^{1/2}}\Big)\prod_{j=1}^{q}\frac{1}{|k|_{\pi,j}}. \qquad (6.75)
$$

Now let $(\partial_{k_l} I_\pi)^{(2)}$ be the second term on the r.h.s. of (6.71). Let π, j be s.t. $l \in \{\pi(j), \pi(j+1), \dots, \pi(q)\}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{k_l^i}\Big(\frac{1}{H_{P-k_{\pi,j},\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|_{\pi,j}}\Big) \\
= \; & \Big(\frac{1}{H_{P-k_{\pi,j},\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|_{\pi,j}}\Big)((P-k_{\pi,j}-P_{\mathrm{f}})-k_l/|k_l|)_i\Big(\frac{1}{H_{P-k_{\pi,j},\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|_{\pi,j}}\Big). \qquad (6.76)
\end{aligned}
$$

(For $l \notin \{\pi(j), \pi(j+1), \dots, \pi(q)\}$ the above derivative is zero). Consequently, making use of standard resolvent bounds (6.10), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
|v_{\overline{\alpha}}^{\sigma}(k_{\pi(j)})|\Big\|\partial_{k_l^i}\Big(\frac{1}{H_{P-k_{\pi,j},\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|_{\pi,j}}\Big)\Big\|_{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} & \leq \lambda\frac{\chi_{[\sigma,\kappa)}(k_{\pi(j)})|k_{\pi(j)}|^{\overline{\alpha}}}{(2|k_{\pi(j)}|)^{1/2}}\frac{c}{|k|_{\pi,j}^2} \qquad (6.77) \\
& \leq \frac{c}{|k_l|}\lambda\frac{\chi_{[\sigma,\kappa_*)}(k_{\pi(j)})|k_{\pi(j)}|^{\overline{\alpha}}}{(2|k_{\pi(j)}|)^{1/2}}\frac{1}{|k|_{\pi,j}}, \qquad (6.78)
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the last step we exploited the fact that $l \in \{\pi(j), \pi(j+1), \dots, \pi(q)\}$ which gives $|k_l| \leq |k|_{\pi,j}$. Thus we get that $(\partial_{k_l} I_\pi)^{(2)}$ also satisfies a bound of the form (6.75). Hence,

$$
|(\partial_{k_l^i} I_\pi)| \leq \frac{c^q}{|k_l|}\prod_{j=1}^{q}\Big(\lambda\frac{\chi_{[\sigma,\kappa_*)}(k_j)|k_j|^{\overline{\alpha}}}{(2|k_j|)^{1/2}}\Big)\prod_{j=1}^{q}\frac{1}{|k|_{\pi,j}}. \qquad (6.79)
$$

Now making use of (6.47) we conclude the proof of (2.16) in the case of $|\beta| = 1$.

To cover the case $|\beta| = 2$, we still have to estimate the second derivative of the resolvent, appearing in the last term of (6.72). We obtain from (6.76) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_{k_l^{i'}}\partial_{k_l^{i}}\Big(\frac{1}{H_{P-k_{\pi,j},\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|_{\pi,j}}\Big)\\
= \ &\Big\{\partial_{k_l^{i'}}\Big(\frac{1}{H_{P-k_{\pi,j},\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|_{\pi,j}}\Big)\Big\}((P - k_{\pi,j} - P_{\mathrm{f}}) - k_l/|k_l|)_i\Big(\frac{1}{H_{P-k_{\pi,j},\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|_{\pi,j}}\Big)\\
&+\Big(\frac{1}{H_{P-k_{\pi,j},\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|_{\pi,j}}\Big)((P - k_{\pi,j} - P_{\mathrm{f}}) - k_l/|k_l|)_i\Big\{\partial_{k_l^{i'}}\Big(\frac{1}{H_{P-k_{\pi,j},\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|_{\pi,j}}\Big)\Big\}\\
&+\Big(\frac{1}{H_{P-k_{\pi,j},\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|_{\pi,j}}\Big)(k_l^i k_l^{i'}|k_l|^{-3} - \delta_{i,i'}(1 + |k_l|^{-1}))\Big(\frac{1}{H_{P-k_{\pi,j},\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|_{\pi,j}}\Big). \qquad (6.80)
\end{aligned}$$

From this expression and formulas (6.10), (6.78), we obtain that

$$|v_{\overline{\alpha}}^{\sigma}(k_{\pi(j)})|\Big\|\partial_{k_l^{i'}}\partial_{k_l^{i}}\Big(\frac{1}{H_{P-k_{\pi,j},\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|_{\pi,j}}\Big)\Big\|_{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \leq \frac{c}{|k_l|^2}\lambda\frac{\chi_{[\sigma,\kappa_*)}(k_{\pi(j)})|k_{\pi(j)}|^{\overline{\alpha}}}{(2|k_{\pi(j)}|)^{1/2}}\frac{1}{|k|_{\pi,j}}. \qquad (6.81)$$

Now let π and j_1, j_2 be s.t. $l \in \{\pi(j_1), \pi(j_1 + 1), \dots, \pi(q)\}$ and $l \in \{\pi(j_2), \pi(j_2 + 1), \dots, \pi(q)\}$. Then, making use of (6.78), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&|v_{\overline{\alpha}}^{\sigma}(k_{\pi(j_1)})||v_{\overline{\alpha}}^{\sigma}(k_{\pi(j_2)})|\Big\|\partial_{k_l^{i}}\Big(\frac{1}{H_{P-k_{\pi,j_1},\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|_{\pi,j_1}}\Big)\Big\|_{\mathcal{F}_\sigma}\Big\|\partial_{k_l^{i}}\Big(\frac{1}{H_{P-k_{\pi,j_2},\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|_{\pi,j_2}}\Big)\Big\|_{\mathcal{F}_\sigma}\\
&\qquad\leq \frac{c}{|k_l|^2}\lambda\frac{\chi_{[\sigma,\kappa_*)}(k_{\pi(j_1)})|k_{\pi(j_1)}|^{\overline{\alpha}}}{(2|k_{\pi(j_1)}|)^{1/2}}\frac{1}{|k|_{\pi,j_1}}\lambda\frac{\chi_{[\sigma,\kappa_*)}(k_{\pi(j_2)})|k_{\pi(j_2)}|^{\overline{\alpha}}}{(2|k_{\pi(j_2)}|)^{1/2}}\frac{1}{|k|_{\pi,j_2}}. \qquad (6.82)
\end{aligned}$$

Now we use formulas (6.81) and (6.82) to estimate the last term on the r.h.s. of (6.72). The remaining terms are estimated with the help of (6.73), (6.74) and (6.10). Altogether we get

$$|\partial_{k_l^i}\partial_{k_l^{i'}}I_\pi| \leq \frac{c^q}{|k_l|^2}\prod_{j=1}^{q}\Big(\lambda\frac{\chi_{[\sigma,\kappa_*)}(k_j)|k_j|^{\overline{\alpha}}}{(2|k_j|)^{1/2}}\Big)\prod_{j=1}^{q}\frac{1}{|k|_{\pi,j}}. \qquad (6.83)$$

Making use of (6.47) we complete the proof of (2.16) for $|\beta| = 2$.

Proof of estimate (2.18).

We recall that I_π is defined by (6.70) and, in view of (6.69), it suffices to consider the expression

$$\partial_{P^{i'}}\partial_{k_l^i}I_\pi = (v_{\overline{\alpha}}^{\sigma}(k_1)\dots\partial_{k_l^i}v_{\overline{\alpha}}^{\sigma}(k_l)\dots v_{\overline{\alpha}}^{\sigma}(k_q))\partial_{P^{i'}}\langle\Omega, \prod_{j=1}^{q}\frac{1}{H_{P-k_{\pi,j},\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|_{\pi,j}}\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}\rangle \qquad (6.84)$$

$$+(v_{\overline{\alpha}}^{\sigma}(k_1)\dots v_{\overline{\alpha}}^{\sigma}(k_q))\partial_{P^{i'}}\partial_{k_l^i}\langle\Omega, \prod_{j=1}^{q}\frac{1}{H_{P-k_{\pi,j},\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|_{\pi,j}}\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}\rangle. \qquad (6.85)$$

The expression on the r.h.s. of (6.84) satisfies the bound

$$|(6.84)| \leq \frac{c^q}{|k_l|}\prod_{j=1}^{q}\Big(\lambda\frac{\chi_{[\sigma,\kappa_*)}(k_j)|k_j|^{\overline{\alpha}}}{(2|k_j|)^{1/2}}\Big)\prod_{j=1}^{q}\frac{1}{|k|_{\pi,j}}, \qquad (6.86)$$

which is obtained analogously as the bound (6.54) above taking into account (6.73). Now we consider expression (6.85). There holds

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\partial_{P^{i'}}\partial_{k_l^i}\langle\Omega,\prod_{j=1}^{q}\frac{1}{H_{P-k_{\pi,j},\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|_{\pi,j}}\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}\rangle\\
&\qquad=\partial_{k_l^i}\langle\Omega,\prod_{j=1}^{q}\frac{1}{H_{P-k_{\pi,j},\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|_{\pi,j}}\partial_{P^{i'}}\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}\rangle \qquad (6.87)\\
&\qquad+\partial_{k_l^i}\langle\Omega,\partial_{P^{i'}}\Big\{\prod_{j=1}^{q}\frac{1}{H_{P-k_{\pi,j},\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|_{\pi,j}}\Big\}\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}\rangle. \qquad (6.88)
\end{aligned}
$$

As for the term (6.87), it is estimated analogously as the second term on the r.h.s. of (6.71), making use in addition of (5.28). We get

$$
|(6.87)|\leq\frac{c^q}{\sigma^\delta}\frac{1}{|k_l|}\prod_{j=1}^{q}\frac{1}{|k|_{\pi,j}}. \qquad (6.89)
$$

Now we consider (6.88). We get (cf. formula (6.50))

$$
\begin{aligned}
(6.88)\;=\;&\sum_{n=1}^{q}\partial_{k_l^i}\langle\Omega\,,\{\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\frac{1}{H_{P-k_{\pi,j},\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|_{\pi,j}}\}\frac{1}{H_{P-k_{\pi,n},\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|_{\pi,n}}\times\\
&\times(P-P_{\mathrm{f}}-k_{\pi,n}-\nabla E_{P,\sigma})_{i'}\{\prod_{j=n}^{q}\frac{1}{H_{P-k_{\pi,j},\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|_{\pi,j}}\}\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}\rangle. \qquad (6.90)
\end{aligned}
$$

We divide this expression into three parts

$$
\begin{aligned}
(6.88)\;=\;&\sum_{n=1}^{q}\langle\Omega,\partial_{k_l^i}\Big\{\{\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\frac{1}{H_{P-k_{\pi,j},\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|_{\pi,j}}\}\frac{1}{H_{P-k_{\pi,n},\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|_{\pi,n}}\Big\}\times\\
&\times(P-P_{\mathrm{f}}-k_{\pi,n}-\nabla E_{P,\sigma})_i\{\prod_{j=n}^{q}\frac{1}{H_{P-k_{\pi,j},\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|_{\pi,j}}\}\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}\rangle \qquad (6.91)\\
+&\sum_{n=1}^{q}\langle\Omega\,,\{\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\frac{1}{H_{P-k_{\pi,j},\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|_{\pi,j}}\}\frac{1}{H_{P-k_{\pi,n},\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|_{\pi,n}}\times\\
&\times\delta_{i,i'}\{\prod_{j=n}^{q}\frac{1}{H_{P-k_{\pi,j},\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|_{\pi,j}}\}\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}\rangle \qquad (6.92)\\
+&\sum_{n=1}^{q}\langle\Omega\,,\{\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\frac{1}{H_{P-k_{\pi,j},\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|_{\pi,j}}\}\frac{1}{H_{P-k_{\pi,n},\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|_{\pi,n}}\times\\
&\times(P-P_{\mathrm{f}}-k_{\pi,n}-\nabla E_{P,\sigma})_{i'}\partial_{k_l^i}\{\prod_{j=n}^{q}\frac{1}{H_{P-k_{\pi,j},\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|_{\pi,j}}\}\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}\rangle, \qquad (6.93)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we assumed that $l\in\{\pi(n),\ldots,\pi(q)\}$ (otherwise (6.92) and (6.93) are zero). As for (6.91), we note that

$$
\partial_{k_l^i}\Big\{\{\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\frac{1}{H_{P-k_{\pi,j},\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|_{\pi,j}}\}\frac{1}{H_{P-k_{\pi,n},\sigma}-E_{P,\sigma}+|k|_{\pi,n}}\Big\}
$$

$$= \mathcal{O}\Big(\frac{c^q}{|k_l|}\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\frac{1}{|k|_{\pi,j}}\Big)\frac{1}{H_{P-k_{\pi,n},\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|_{\pi,n}}, \tag{6.94}$$

(cf. (6.76), (6.10)). In (6.94) the equality holds on $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$ and $\mathcal{O}(\ldots)$ refers to the norm on $B(\mathcal{F}_\sigma)$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{H_{P-k_{\pi,n},\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|_{\pi,n}}(P - P_{\mathrm{f}} - k_{\pi,n} - \nabla E_{P,\sigma})_i\{\prod_{j=n}^{q}\frac{1}{H_{P-k_{\pi,j},\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|_{\pi,j}}\}\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}\\ = \mathcal{O}\Big(\frac{c^q}{\sigma^\delta}\prod_{j=n}^{q}\frac{1}{|k|_{\pi,j}}\Big),\end{aligned} \tag{6.95}$$

where we argued as in the discussion after (6.53) above and $\mathcal{O}(\ldots)$ in (6.95) refers to the norm on $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$. Altogether

$$|(6.91)| \leq \frac{c^q}{\sigma^\delta}\frac{1}{|k_l|}\prod_{j=1}^{q}\frac{1}{|k|_{\pi,j}}. \tag{6.96}$$

By applying only the standard bounds (6.10) we get

$$|(6.92)| \leq \frac{c^q}{|k_l|}\prod_{j=1}^{q}\frac{1}{|k|_{\pi,j}}. \tag{6.97}$$

Expression (6.93) requires more careful analysis: We write

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{H_{P-k_{\pi,n},\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|_{\pi,n}}(P - P_{\mathrm{f}} - k_{\pi,n} - \nabla E_{P,\sigma})_{i'}\partial_{k_l^i}\{\prod_{j=n}^{q}\frac{1}{H_{P-k_{\pi,j},\sigma} - E_{P,\sigma} + |k|_{\pi,j}}\}\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma}\\ &= R_n(P - P_{\mathrm{f}} - k_{\pi,n} - \nabla E_{P,\sigma})_{i'}\sum_{m=n}^{q'}\{\prod_{j=n}^{m-1}R_j\}R_m((P - k_{\pi,m} - P_{\mathrm{f}}) - k_l/|k_l|)_i\{\prod_{j=m}^{q}R_j\}\check{\psi}_{P,\sigma},\end{aligned} \tag{6.98}$$

where q' is s.t. $l = \pi(q')$, R_j is defined in (6.60). We apply repetitively the expansion

$$\psi_{P,\sigma} = \psi_{P-k_{\pi,j},\sigma} - k_{\pi,j}\cdot\nabla_{P'}\psi_{P',\sigma}|_{P'=P-k_{\pi,j}} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\hat{i},\hat{i}'=1}^{3}(k_{\pi,j})^{\hat{i}}(k_{\pi,j})^{\hat{i}'}\partial_{\hat{i}}\partial_{\hat{i}'}\psi_{P',\sigma}|_{P'=P''} \tag{6.99}$$

until we get

$$\begin{aligned}(6.98) \quad = \quad & R_n(P - P_{\mathrm{f}} - k_{\pi,n} - \nabla E_{P,\sigma})_{i'}\sum_{m=n}^{q'}\{\prod_{j=n}^{m-1}R_j\}R_m(P - k_{\pi,m} - P_{\mathrm{f}} - \nabla E_{P-k_{\pi,m},\sigma})_i\psi_{P-k_{\pi,m},\sigma}\times\\ &\times\mathcal{O}\Big(c^q\prod_{j=m}^{q}\frac{1}{|k|_{\pi,j}}\Big) \quad (6.100)\\ &+R_n(P - P_{\mathrm{f}} - k_{\pi,n} - \nabla E_{P,\sigma})_{i'}\sum_{m=n}^{q'}\{\prod_{j=n}^{m-1}R_j\}R_m(\nabla E_{P-k_{\pi,m},\sigma} - k_l^i/|k_l|)\psi_{P-k_{\pi,m},\sigma}\times\\ &\times\mathcal{O}\Big(c^q\prod_{j=m}^{q}\frac{1}{|k|_{\pi,j}}\Big) \quad (6.101)\\ &+\mathcal{O}\Big(\frac{c^q}{\sigma^\delta}\frac{1}{|k_l|}\prod_{j=n}^{q}\frac{1}{|k|_{\pi,j}}\Big), \quad (6.102)\end{aligned}$$

where we added and subtracted $\nabla E_{P-k_{\pi,m},\sigma}$. ($\mathcal{O}(\ldots)$ in (6.100) and (6.101) are just numbers, i.e., refer to the norm in $\mathbb{R}$, whereas $\mathcal{O}(\ldots)$ in (6.102) refers to the norm in $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$). As for (6.100), we apply the bounds

$$\|R_m(P - k_{\pi,m} - P_{\mathrm{f}} - \nabla E_{P-k_{\pi,m},\sigma})_i \psi_{P-k_{\pi,m},\sigma}\| \leq \frac{c}{\sigma^\delta}, \tag{6.103}$$

$$\|R_n(P - P_{\mathrm{f}} - k_{\pi,n} - \nabla E_{P,\sigma})_{i'}\|_{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \leq \frac{c}{|k_l|}, \tag{6.104}$$

where (6.103) follows from (5.25), (4.10) and a shift of the resolvent R_m as in (6.21) and (6.104) is a standard resolvent bound. Thus we get

$$|(6.100)| \leq \frac{c^q}{\sigma^\delta} \frac{1}{|k_l|} \prod_{j=n}^{q} \frac{1}{|k|_{\pi,j}}. \tag{6.105}$$

Concerning (6.101) we can write

$$(6.101) = R_n(P - P_{\mathrm{f}} - k_{\pi,n} - \nabla E_{P,\sigma})_{i'} \sum_{m=n}^{q'} \{ \prod_{j=n}^{m-1} R_j \} \} \psi_{P-k_{\pi,m},\sigma} \mathcal{O}\Big(\frac{c^q}{|k_l|} \prod_{j=m}^{q} \frac{1}{|k|_{\pi,j}} \Big), \tag{6.106}$$

where $\mathcal{O}(\ldots)$ in (6.106) is a number. This expression can be estimated analogously as (6.53) i.e., by iterating the expansion (6.99), applying $|\nabla E_{P-k_{\pi,n},\sigma} - \nabla E_{P,\sigma}| \leq c|k_{\pi,n}|$, and estimating

$$\|R_n(P - k_{\pi,n} - P_{\mathrm{f}} - \nabla E_{P-k_{\pi,n},\sigma})_i \psi_{P-k_{\pi,n},\sigma}\| \leq \frac{c}{\sigma^\delta}. \tag{6.107}$$

Thus we get $|(6.101)| \leq \frac{c^q}{|k_l|} \prod_{j=n}^{q} \frac{1}{|k|_{\pi,j}}$ which, together with (6.105), gives $|(6.98)| \leq \frac{c^q}{|k_l|} \prod_{j=n}^{q} \frac{1}{|k|_{\pi,j}}$. This implies that

$$|(6.93)| \leq \frac{c^q}{|k_l|} \prod_{j=1}^{q} \frac{1}{|k|_{\pi,j}}. \tag{6.108}$$

By substituting (6.96), (6.97), (6.108) to (6.88) we obtain

$$|(6.88)| \leq \frac{c^q}{|k_l|} \prod_{j=1}^{q} \frac{1}{|k|_{\pi,j}}, \tag{6.109}$$

which, together with (6.89) concludes the proof of estimate (2.18). □.

References

[AH12] A. Abdesselam and D. Hasler, *Analyticity of the ground state energy for massless Nelson models*. Commun. Math. Phys. **310**, (2012) 511–536.

[Al73] S. Albeverio, *Scattering theory in a model of quantum fields.* J. Math. Phys. **14**, (1973) 1800-1816.

[BBP13] V. Bach, M. Ballesteros and A.Pizzo, *Existence and construction of resonances for atoms coupled to the quantized radiation field*. Preprint: arXiv:1302.2829.

[BCFS07] V. Bach, T. Chen, J. Fröhlich, and I. M. Sigal, *The renormalized electron mass in non-relativistic quantum electrodynamics*. J. Funct. Anal. **243**, (2007) 426-535.

[BDP12] S. Bachmann, D.-A. Deckert and A. Pizzo, *The mass shell of the Nelson model without cut-offs*. J. Funct. Anal. **263**, (2012) 1224–1282.

[CFP07] T. Chen, J. Fröhlich and A. Pizzo, *Infraparticle scattering states in non-relativistic QED: I. The Bloch-Nordsieck paradigm*. Commun. Math. Phys. **294**, (2010) 761–825.

[CFP09] T. Chen, J. Fröhlich and A. Pizzo, *Infraparticle scattering states in non-relativistic QED: II. Mass shell properties.* J. Math. Phys. **50**, (2009) 012103–012134.

[DG04] J. Dereziński and C. Gérard, *Scattering theory of infrared divergent Pauli-Fierz Hamiltonians*. Ann. Henri Poincaré **5**, (2004) 523–577.

[DK11] W. De Roeck and A. Kupiainen, *Approach to ground state and time-independent photon bound for massless spin-boson models*. To appear in Ann. Henri Poincaré.

[Dy05] W. Dybalski, *Haag-Ruelle scattering theory in presence of massless particles*. Lett. Math. Phys. **72**, (2005) 27–38.

[DP12] W. Dybalski and A. Pizzo, *Coulomb scattering in the massless Nelson model I. Foundations of two-electron scattering*. In preparation.

[Fr73] J. Fröhlich, *On the infrared problem in a model of scalar electrons and massless, scalar bosons.* Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Sect. A (N.S.) **19**, (1973) 1–103.

[Fr] J. Fröhlich, unpublished notes.

[Fr74] J. Fröhlich, *Existence of dressed one electron states in a class of persistent models*. Fortschr. Phys. **22**, (1974) 158–198.

[FGS04] J. Fröhlich, M. Griesemer and B. Schlein, *Asymptotic completeness for Compton scattering*. Commun. Math. Phys. **252**, (2004) 415–476.

[FP10] J. Fröhlich and A. Pizzo, *Renormalized electron mass in non-relativistic QED*. Commun. Math. Phys. **294**, (2010) 439–470.

[Ha58] R. Haag, *Quantum field theories with composite particles and asymptotic conditions*. Phys. Rev. **112**, (1958) 669–673.

[KM12] M. Könenberg and O. Matte, *The mass-shell in the semi-relativistic Pauli-Fierz model*. Preprint: arXiv:1204.5123.

[Pi03] A. Pizzo, *One-particle (improper) states in Nelson's massless model.* Ann. Henri Poincaré **4**, (2003) 439–486.

[Pi05] A. Pizzo, *Scattering of an infraparticle: the one particle sector in Nelson's massless models*, Ann. Henri Poincaré **4**, (2005) 553–606.

[Ru62] D. Ruelle, *On the asymptotic condition in quantum field theory*. Helv. Phys. Acta **35**, (1962) 147–163.

[Sp97] H. Spohn, *Asymptotic completeness for Rayleigh scattering*. J. Math. Phys. **38**, (1997) 2281–2296.